



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.33993 of 2025

Rajani Roul

....

Petitioner

Mr. M.K. Sahoo, Adv.

-versus-

State of Odisha & Ors.

....

Opposite Parties

Mr. S.P. Das, ASC

COROM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

17.12.2025

Order No

01.

- 1.** This matter is taken up through Hybrid Mode.
- 2.** Heard learned counsel for Petitioner and learned counsel for the State-Opposite Parties.
- 3.** The present Writ Petition has been filed *inter alia* with the following prayer:-

“Under the above facts and circumstances of the case, it is humbly prayed that this Hon’ble High Court be pleased to allow this writ application by granting following reliefs;

(A) A writ of mandamus be issued directing the opposite parties particularly opp. Party No.1 to grant all service benefits and differential financial benefits by regularizing the services of the Petitioner w.e.f. 01.05.2010(date of joining), with all service and differential arrear benefits, instead of date order of regularization i.e.25.09.2024, after deducting the remuneration received on contractual basis within a stipulated period of time following the principles of law as laid down in the case of Subasini Das in



W.P.(C) No.21977 of 2014 under Annexure-10 of this Hon'ble High Court.

Or in alternative and in the interest of justice, equity, any other appropriate order(s), direction(s) as deem fit may be issued, so as to give complete relief to the petitioner.

And for this act of kindness, the petitioners shall as in duty bound to ever pray."

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the Petitioner state that highlighting his grievances, the Petitioner has made a representation to Opposite Party No.1 vide Annexure-11 and the same may be directed to be considered within a stipulated time, to which learned Counsel for the State has no objection.

5. As agreed by learned counsel for the parties and after going through the records, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the Writ Petition directing Opposite Party No.1 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-11 in accordance with law within a period of three (3) months from the date of production of certified copy of this order.

Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands disposed of.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

Jyoti