



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
CONTC No.7533 of 2024**

Srinibash Das *Petitioner*
Mr. Satyajit Behera, Advocate
-versus-
Vir Vikram Yadav, I.A.S, Secretary, *Opposite*
Revenue and Disaster Management *Parties/Contemnors*
Department, Odisha, Bhubaneswar
and others
Mr. Dayanidhi Lenka, Addl. Govt. Advocate

**CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MURAHARI SRI RAMAN**

Order No.
01.

ORDER
10.02.2025

This matter is taken up through Hybrid Mode.

2. *Vide* Judgment dated 22.07.2024 read with corrigendum order dated 23.07.2024 this Court has passed the following order and direction:-

“XXXX XXXX XXXX

10. *In view of the fact that this Court has already opined to ignore the Order dated 20.06.2024 (Annexure-A/4 to the counter affidavit) passed by the opposite party, being ineffective, inoperative and non est, and is inclined to set aside the Order dated 12.09.2023 (Annexure-14) as the same is not in conformity with the direction contained in the Order dated 17.05.2023 passed in W.P.(C) No.14961 of 2023 read with the Order dated 18.08.2023 passed in CONTC No.5753 of 2023.*

11. *In the result, the writ petition needs to succeed. For the reasons discussed above and the legal position made clear by the Courts, the impugned Order dated 12.09.2023 passed by the Additional Chief Secretary to Government, Revenue Disaster Management Department cannot withstand judicial scrutiny, as such*



the same deserves to be set aside, which this Court does.

11.1. As a sequel to the above, the opposite parties are directed to notionally regularize the service of the petitioner retrospectively with effect from 20.02.1992 without monetary benefit for the period from 20.02.1992 to 14.02.2016 and extend pecuniary benefit (service benefit as also financial benefit as admissible) with effect from 15.02.2016. Needless to observe that the period from 20.02.1992 shall be taken for continuity of service and pensionary benefits.

12. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the writ petition stands disposed of, but in the circumstances there shall be no order as to costs.”

2.1. After this contempt petition being filed by the learned counsel for the Petitioner, Mr. Dayanidhi Lenka, learned Additional Government Advocate appearing for the State drew attention of this Court that the Office of the learned Advocate General communicated letter dated 05/06.11.2024 addressed to the Additional Chief Secretary to Government, Revenue and Disaster Management Department, the Principal Secretary to Government, Finance Department, Inspector General of Registration, Odisha and the District Registrar, Mayurbhanj instructing them to ensure the compliance of the order of this Court and to submit necessary compliance report of the Office of the learned Advocate General, Odisha, Cuttack for appraisal of this Court.

3. The learned Additional Government Advocate submitted that as yet no communication or compliance report has been submitted by any quarters. However he seeks a week's accommodation to obtain instruction with regard to steps taken or



compliance made pursuant to order/direction contained in the Judgment dated 22.07.2024 passed in W.P.(C) No.37540 of 2023.

4. In the event, no compliance of the judgment as referred to above is put forth by the next date, steps deemed proper shall be taken against the erring contemnors. Under such eventuality, Mr. Dayanidhi Lenka, learned Additional Government Advocate undertakes to furnish the names of the contemnors for issuance of notice(s) in contempt.

5. List this matter on 20.02.2025.

(M.S. Raman)
Judge

Suchitra