



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.29816 OF 2025
CNR ODHC010744352025

Susanta Mohapatra

...

Petitioner

Mr. Pramod Ranjan Behera, Advocate
being authorized by Mr. Mr. Pravat Kumar Nayak, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha and others

... Opposite Parties

Mr. Sabita Ranjan Patnaik,
Additional Government Advocate

CORAM:

JUSTICE K.R. MOHAPATRA

JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR MISHRA

ORDER

28.08.2026

Order No.

03.

I.A. No.14869 of 2026

1. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.
2. This is an application for modification of order dated 17th March, 2026, by which the writ petition was disposed of.
3. Mr. Behera, learned counsel being authorized by Mr. Nayak, learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that this Court disposed of the writ petition with an observation that the Petitioner, if so advised, may move the LAR and R Authority (N.D.), Sambalpur by filing appropriate application to be impleaded as party in L.A. Case No.45 of 2019 and L.A. Case No.46 of 2019 within a period of two weeks from the date of the said order. In such event, the LAR and R Authority shall consider the prayer for impletion of party providing opportunity of hearing to the parties to the said L.A. Cases.



3.1. It is submitted by learned counsel that the Petitioner could not avail the opportunity given by this Court, as learned counsel who was entrusted to file the application, failed to file the petition for impletion of parties in the aforesaid L.A. Cases within the stipulated period. He, therefore, submits that a further two weeks' time may be granted for filing an application to be impleaded as parties in L.A. Case No.45 of 2019 and L.A. Case No.46 of 2019. It is further submitted that both the L.A. Cases are still pending before LAR and R Authority (N.D.), Sambalpur.

4. Mr. Pattanaik, learned Additional Government Advocate submits that in view of the facts and circumstances of the case, the Court may consider the prayer of learned counsel for the Petitioner.

5. Taking note of submission made by learned counsel for the parties, this Court disposes of the interim application with a direction that if L.A. Case No.45 of 2019 and L.A. Case No.46 of 2019 are still pending before the LAR and R Authority (N.D.), Sambalpur, the Petitioner may, if so advised, file application for impletion of parties in the said L.A Cases within a period of two weeks hence. In that event, the LAR and R Authority (N.D.), Sambalpur may consider the same in accordance with law providing opportunity of hearing of the parties.

5.1 It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion with regard to merit of the case of the Petitioner to be impleaded as parties in the aforesaid L.A. Cases.

6. Accordingly, the I.A. is disposed of.



7. It is made clear that no further prayer of such nature shall be entertained in future at instance of the Petitioner by this Court.

Urgent certified copy of this order be granted on proper application.

(K.R. Mohapatra)
Judge

Sashikant

(S.K. Mishra)
Judge