



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

ABLAPL No.12161 of 2025

Rajendra Patra

....

Petitioner

Mr. B. Rath, Advocate

-versus-

***State of Odisha and
another***

.... ***Opposite Parties***

Mr. S. Panda, ASC

CORAM: JUSTICE V. NARASINGH

ORDER

15.12.2025

Order No.

- 02.** 1. In spite of due service of notice on the informant there is no appearance on behalf of the informant when the matter is called.
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and learned counsel for the State.
3. The Petitioner is seeking pre-arrest bail in connection with G.R. Case No.584 of 2025 pending on the file of learned JMFC, Aul, arising out of Rajkanika P.S. Case No.373 of 2025 for commission of offences punishable under Sections 85, 82(1) and 3(5) of BNS, 2023 r/w 4 of the DP Act, 1961.
4. It is submitted by the learned counsel that taking into account the omnibus nature of allegations, the Petitioner may be protected by pre-arrest bail.



5. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for pre-arrest bail.

6. It is also brought to the notice of this Court that in the meanwhile the Petitioner has filed an application before the learned Judge, Family Court, Kendrapara vide C.P. No.228 of 2025, inter alia, for restitution of conjugal rights.

7. Taking into account the nature of allegations and the punishment prescribed, this Court directs that on surrendering within three weeks hence and moving for bail, the Petitioner shall be released on bail by the learned Court in seisin on such terms as deemed just and proper.

8. It is needless to state that the Petitioner shall cooperate with the ongoing investigation.

9. Accordingly, the ABLAPL stands disposed of.

(V. NARASINGH)
Judge