



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

ABLAPL No.11843 of 2025

Amiya Kanta Sahoo

....

Petitioner

Mr. B.P. Pradhan, Advocate

-versus-

1. State of Odisha

....

Opposite Party

***2. Priyansh Pallav
Acharya***

Mr. S.N. Das, ASC

CORAM: JUSTICE V. NARASINGH

ORDER

10.12.2025

Order No.

02.

1. There is no appearance on behalf of the Informant in spite of due service of notice, as borne out from the postal endorsement.
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and learned counsel for the State.
3. The Petitioner is seeking pre-arrest bail in connection with C.T. Case No.1441 of 2025 pending on the file of learned J.M.F.C.-V, Bhubaneswar arising out of Bharatpur P.S. Case No.465 of 2025 for commission of offences punishable under Sections 324(2)/318(4) of BNS.
4. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner and he is not a named accused and the allegations are against one Suraj Kumar Bisoi and on instruction it is submitted that the principal accused



had also taken money from the present Petitioner and the amount that he took was routed to the account of the present Petitioner for which the Petitioner is being sought to be taken into custody. Hence, he may be protected by pre-arrest bail.

5. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer.

6. Taking into account the nature of allegations and role ascribed to the Petitioner, it is directed that on surrendering within three weeks hence and moving for bail, the Petitioner shall be released on bail by the learned Court in seisin on such terms as deemed just and proper subject to verification of criminal antecedent of similar nature.

7. If it comes to fore that the Petitioner has any such criminal antecedent, this order shall not be given effect to.

8. It is needless to state that the Petitioner shall cooperate with the ongoing investigation.

9. Accordingly, the ABLAPL stands disposed of.

(V. NARASINGH)
Judge

Soumya