



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK**

**W.P.(C) No.24919 of 2024**

***Dhuleswar Das***

**..... *Petitioner***

*Ms. B.K. Pattanaik, Advocate*

*-versus-*

***Union of India and  
others***

**..... *Opp. Parties***

*Mr. B.S. Rayaguru,  
Central Govt. Counsel for opp.  
parties nos.1 to 6*

**CORAM:**

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.K. SAHOO**

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHITTARANJAN DASH**

**ORDER**

**19.11.2024**

**Order No.**

01. This matter is taken up through Hybrid arrangement (video conferencing/physical mode).

Mr. Bhabani Shankar Rayaguru, learned Central Govt. Counsel files his appearance memo on behalf of opp. parties nos.1 to 6, which is taken on record.

Ms. B.K. Pattanaik, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has approached this Court challenging the order passed by the learned Central Administrative Tribunal, Cuttack Bench, Cuttack (for short, "the Tribunal") dated 02.04.2024 in O.A. No.589 of 2017, in which the learned Tribunal disposed of the original application with a direction to the



respondents/opp. parties to consider his application in terms of the scheme dated 22.08.2019 and communicate the decision to the applicant/petitioner within a period of 90 days from the date of receipt of copy of the order.

The petitioner, Dhuleswar Das was working as Technical Helper in Doordarshan. According to the learned counsel for the petitioner, similarly situated persons like the petitioner also approached the learned Tribunal in T.A. No.34 of 2009 and other connected applications and all those matters were heard analogously and disposed of by a common order dated 12<sup>th</sup> March, 2018 and the respondents were directed to take necessary steps and pass orders within eight weeks from the date of receipt of the order for regularization of the applicants from the date of their initial appointment with all consequential benefits by following the necessary rules with regards to conferment of temporary status and consequent regularization.

It is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the said order passed by the learned Tribunal was challenged by the respondents before this Court, which was dismissed and the respondents also approached the Hon'ble Supreme Court, but they remained unsuccessful and thereafter the service of all the similarly situated persons has been regularized. The copies of the judgments passed by this Court so also by the Hon'ble Supreme Court have not been annexed to this writ petition.



Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that she will supply the judgment/order copies during course of the day with a memo giving copies thereof to Mr. Rayaguru, learned Central Govt. Counsel.

List this matter tomorrow (20.11.2024) indicating the name of Mr. Bhabani Shankar Rayaguru, learned Central Govt. Counsel for opp. parties nos.1 to 6.

**( S.K. Sahoo )  
Judge**

**(Chittaranjan Dash)  
Judge**

*sipun*