



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.27889 of 2025

Saroj Pandit

....

Petitioner

Mr. A.K. Chhatoi, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha & Ors.

....

Opposite Parties

Mr. S. P. Das, ASC

CORAM:

JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

26.02.2026

Order No.

- 03.** 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical) Mode.
2. Heard learned counsel appearing for the Parties.
3. Petitioner has filed the present Writ Petition *inter alia* with the following prayer:-

*“In view of the facts stated above the Petitioner prays that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to issue a writ in the nature of writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ/ writs, quashing the letter dtd.31.05.2025 under Annexure-5 in respect of the petitioner and direct the opp. Parties to regularize the service of the petitioner in the post of Additional Computer Programmer/Data Entry Operator keeping in view the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Jaggo v.Union of India***

And further be pleased to issue pass any other order/orders as the Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper.

And for this act of kindness the petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray.”



4. It is contended that without any reason and basis, basing on the impugned communication, Petitioner is not being allowed to continue beyond 30th June, 2025.

5. Learned Addl. Standing Counsel for the State on the other hand basing on Annexure-5 contended that Petitioner was continuing as Additional Computer Programmer through outsourcing under MGNREGA Programme. Since Government has decided not to continue with the said programme, Petitioner has not been allowed to continue beyond 30.06.2025. It is accordingly contended that challenge made to Annexure-5 is not entertainable.

6. Having heard learned counsel appearing for the Parties, considering the submissions made and the fact that Petitioner was continuing through outsourcing and that too under the MGNREGA Programme, which has now been discontinued, this Court finds no illegality or irregularity with the impugned communication so issued on 31.05.2025 under Annexure-5. Petitioner is also not in service beyond 30.06.2025 and not protected by any interim order.

7. Accordingly, this Court is not inclined to interfere with the impugned communication dtd.31.05.2025 and dismiss the Writ Petition.



The Writ Petition stands dismissed accordingly.

Subrat

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge