



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

ABLAPL No.11607 of 2025

Minati Panda

....

Petitioner

Mr. D. K. Biswal, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha

....

Opposite Party

Mr. S. Panda, ASC

CORAM: JUSTICE V. NARASINGH

ORDER

03.12.2025

Order
No.

- 02.**
1. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and learned counsel for the State.
 2. The Petitioner is seeking pre-arrest bail in connection with G.R. Case No.876 of 2025 pending on the file of learned S.D.J.M., Puri, arising out of Puri Town P.S. Case No.328 of 2025 for commission of offences punishable under Sections 126(2)/ 296/ 351(2)/ 115(2)/ 74/ 109(1)/ 3(5) of BNS.
 3. It is submitted by the learned counsel that because of the counter case the Petitioner has been falsely implication in the case at hand. Hence, the Petitioner may be protected by pre-arrest bail.
 4. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for pre-arrest bail in view of the injury



report which corresponds to the allegations and the nature of allegation of the informant being dragged out of her beauty parlor.

5. Taking into account the nature of allegations and the punishment prescribed, this Court directs that on surrendering within three weeks hence and moving for bail, the Petitioner shall be released on bail by the learned Court in seisin on such terms as deemed just and proper subject to verification of criminal antecedent of any nature.

6. If it comes to the fore that the Petitioner has any criminal antecedent, this order shall not be given effect to.

7. It is directed that the Petitioners shall not in any way try to intimidate or threaten the Informant or her family.

8. It shall be open for the prosecution/Informant to seek variance of this order, if there is any threat perception.

9. It is needless to state that the Petitioner shall cooperate with the ongoing investigation.

10. Accordingly, the ABLAPL stands disposed of.

(V. NARASINGH)
Judge

Jina