



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK**

**W.P.(C) No.27333 of 2025**

**The Z, a partnership firm at ..... Petitioner**  
**Bhubaneswar, Odisha represented**  
**through its Managing Partner,**  
**Tapan Kumar Mohanty**

M/s. S.S. Mohanty, Advocate &  
associates

**-Versus-**

**State of Odisha & another ..... Opposite Parties**  
**Ms. B. Dash, ASC**

**CORAM:**  
**MR. JUSTICE R.K. PATTANAIAK**

**ORDER**  
**24.09.2025**

**Order No.**

01.        1.        Heard Mr. Mohanty, learned counsel for the petitioner.
2.        Instant writ petition is filed by the petitioner challenging the impugned Letter dated 1<sup>st</sup> September, 2025 on the demand with a reminder 19th September, 2025 at Annexures-3 and 6 respectively on the grounds stated.
3.        It is submitted by Mr. Mohanty, learned counsel for the petitioner that on such a demand with regard to arrear license fee, the petitioner has deposited an amount of Rs.84, 48,090.90, receipt of which, is duly acknowledged by opposite party No.2 as at Annexure-5 series. Referring to Annexure-4, the calculation sheet, Mr. Mohanty, learned counsel would further submit that any such demand of outstanding license fee



pursuant to Annexure-3 is unjustified. Considering the facts pleaded on record and submission as above, the Court is inclined to direct the State to respond.

4. Accordingly, it is ordered.

5. An extra copy of the writ petition with annexures is requested to be served on the State, Ms. Dash, learned ASC is directed to take instructions and to reply back.

6. List on 13<sup>th</sup> October, 2025 for final orders.

**(R.K. Pattanaik)**  
**Judge**

**I.A. No.17296 of 2025**

- 02.
1. Heard.
  2. Instant petition is filed for interim orders.
  3. With a calculation furnished for an amount of Rs.52,88,159/-, it is submitted by Mr. Mohanty, learned counsel for the petitioner that the same may be the interest part besides the deposit of Rs.84 lac and some odd on 8<sup>th</sup> September, 2025, hence, therefore, any such action pursuant to Annexures-3 and 6 should not be allowed to take place.
  4. Recorded the submission of Ms. Dash, learned ASC for the State.
  5. Awaiting reply and response of the opposite parties and in particular, opposite party No.2, this Court, as an interim measure, stays operation of the impugned demand as per



Annexures-3 and 6 subject to deposit of Rs.10 lac by the petitioner, with such deposit, without prejudice to him, shall be subject to the final outcome of the writ petition.

6. List on the date fixed for further orders.
7. Issue urgent certified copy of this order as per rules.

**(R.K. Pattanaik)**  
**Judge**

Balaram