



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

CRLMC No. 3815 of 2024

Bijay Laxmi Nayak

.....

Petitioner (s)

Mr. Meru Sagar Samantaray, Adv.

-Versus-

State of Odisha (VIG)

.....

Opposite Party (s)

*Mr. N. Moharana, Standing Counsel
for the Vigilance Department*

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE SANJEEB K PANIGRAHI

ORDER

24.06.2026

I.A. No.1399 of 2026

Order No.

01.

1. This matter is taken up through hybrid arrangement.
2. Heard.
3. This I.A. has been filed by the Petitioner for modification of the judgment/order dated 17.04.2026 passed by this Court in CRLMC Nos.3815 and 1021 of 2024.
4. Learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that in Paragraph Nos.4(ii), 7 and 26 of the aforesaid judgment, the name has wrongly been reflected as "Smt. Pramila Malik" instead of "Smt. Pramila Nayak @ Jena".



5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the Petitioner and the averments made in the I.A, prayer is allowed. Accordingly, it is directed that the name wrongly reflected as “Smt. Pramila Malik” in Paragraph Nos.4(ii), 7 and 26 of the aforesaid judgment be read as “Smt. Pramila Nayak @ Jena”.
6. This I.A. is disposed of.

(Dr. Sanjeeb K Panigrahi)
Judge

Murmu