



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.25779 of 2025

Suvendu Kumar Sahoo

....

Petitioner

Mr. U.K. Samal, Advocate

-Versus-

State of Odisha & Others

....

Opposite Parties

Mr. P.K. Ray, AGA

Mr. S. Palit, Sr. Advocate

(Intervener)

CORAM:

MR. JUSTICE R.K. PATTANAİK

ORDER

18.09.2025

Order No.

01.

1. Heard Mr. Samal, learned counsel for the petitioner.
2. Mr. Palit, learned Senior Advocate appearing for the caveator is present. A copy of the writ petition with annexures is requested to be served on learned counsel for the caveator in course of the day.
3. Instant writ petition is filed by the petitioner assailing the impugned notification as at Annexure-6 on the grounds stated therein.
4. It is submitted by Mr. Samal, learned counsel for the petitioner that in response to the notice at Annexure-1, explanation was offered by the petitioner as per Annexure-2 and consequent upon the Court's order in W.P.(C) No.19738 of 2025 at Annexure-3, a personal hearing was held with a letter of intimation i.e. Annexure-4 and during such hearing, the



application as per Annexure-5 series was moved demanding a copy of the enquiry report to be supplied to him, but it was followed by Annexure-6, hence, therefore, the entire exercise is in violation of the principles of natural justice. Since the claim is that the enquiry report was not supplied to the petitioner and he has been prejudiced thereby, this Court is inclined to direct the State to respond to the same.

6. List on 23rd September, 2025 for further orders.

(R.K. Pattanaik)
Judge

I.A. No.16214 of 2025

02. 1. Heard.
2. List on the date fixed for orders.

(R.K. Pattanaik)
Judge