



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

RVWPET No. 244 of 2022

Annapurna Dash and others

....

Petitioners

Represented by
Mr. D.R. Swain, Advocate

-Versus -

Sabitri Dash and Others

....

Opp. Parties

Represented by
Ms. Pragyan. Mohanty,
Advocate

CORAM:

JUSTICE SASHIKANTA MISHRA

ORDER

15.10.2025

I.A. No. 304 of 2025

Order No.

3.

1. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.
2. This is an application for condonation of delay in filing the application for review.
3. It is stated that there is delay of 39 days. The delay has been sought to be explained in paragraph-2 of the application.
4. Mr. Swain submits that the petitioners were prevented by sufficient cause because of the reasons indicated.
5. Ms. Mohanty, learned counsel for the opposite parties opposes the prayer for condonation of delay by submitting that the delay has not been properly explained.
6. Considering that submission and the ground cited, I am inclined to condone the delay. The I.A. is allowed.

(Sashikanta Mishra)
Judge



RVWPET No. 244 of 2022

Order No.

4.

1. Ms. Pragyan Mohanty appears and submits that she has already appeared for Opposite Party Nos. 2 to 5.
2. Mr. Swain, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no substitution is necessary for the deceased-opposite party No.1, who has died since 2019 as her LRs are already on record.
3. He requests that the word “dead” be mentioned against respondent No.1. Same is allowed. The word ‘dead’ be mentioned against the name of Respondent No.1.
3. List the Review Application on 12th November, 2025 for admission.

(Sashikanta Mishra)
Judge