

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
EXP No.28 of 2025

***Maa Santoshi Group Housing
Society, Cuttack***

.....

Petitioner

Represented by Adv. –
Mrs. Indira Priyadarshini
Bose

-versus-

***Cuttack Municipal Corporation,
Cuttack***

.....

Opposite Parties

Represented by Adv. –
Mr. Debasish Nayak,
Mr. P.K. Behera

**CORAM:
REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

**ORDER
15.04.2026**

Order No.

9. Heard both sides.

Perused the execution petition case record and disposed of order passed by the Hon'ble Court on 13.01.2023 passed in WP(C) No.251 of 2019.

Today, learned counsel for the petitioner filed objection to the compliance affidavit of Cuttack Municipal Corporation which is taken on record. A copy of this objection is also served upon the learned counsel appearing for C.M.C.

The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the Opposite Party had passed the alleged compliance order unilaterally, without adhering to the directions issued in W.P.(C) No. 251 of 2019 and without affording any opportunity to the petitioner.

Upon perusal of the case records, it reveals that the court in W.P.(C) No.251 of 2019 has directed which is as follows;-

Para5- "As agreed by learned counsel for the parties and after going through the records, this court, without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, disposes of the writ petition directing O.P.No.1 to consider the representation filed by the petitioner vide Annexure-9 and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of 4 weeks from the date of production of certified copy of this order."

AS it reveals the Commissioner, C.M.C who is the O.P.No.1 in the case has already disposed of the representation of the petitioner as per the direction of the Hon'ble court. Hence there remains nothing to be proceeded with in this execution case.

If the petitioner is aggrieved with the order passed by the Commissioner, C.M.C, he is at liberty to challenge the same in the court of law.

Registrar (Judicial)
Lawzima