



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

ABLAPL No.11043 of 2025

Amit Kumar Pattnaik **Petitioner**
M/s. P.K. Nanda, Advocate & Associates

-Versus-

State of Odisha & another **Opposite Parties**
Ms. S. Mohanty, ASC
M/s. Rakesh Behera, Advocate & Associates
for O.P. No.2

CORAM:
MR. JUSTICE R.K. PATTANAIAK

ORDER
11.02.2026

Order No.
04.

1. Heard learned counsel for the respective parties.
2. Instant petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS by the petitioner seeking pre-arrest bail in connection with Markatnagar P.S. Case No.178 of 2025 corresponding to G.R. Case No.861 of 2025 pending in the court of learned S.D.J.M., Cuttack on the grounds stated.
3. Gone through the FIR as at Annexure-1. The matter was referred for mediation, however, it has become unsuccessful as revealed from the report as at Flag-H. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the in-laws of the informant are on bail vide ABLAPL No. 10953 of 2025 as at Flag-D. The submission is that the petitioner has filed a case before the Family Court at Cuttack seeking dissolution of marriage against the informant. It is claimed that more cases have been filed in the meantime against the petitioner from the sider of the informant. Learned counsel for the informant



submits that the report was lodged in the month of August, 2025 and thereafter, the case before the learned Family Court is filed by the petitioner and in so far as the allegations are concerned, the victim has been mentally and physically tortured on account of dowry demand. The Court finds from the FIR that the petitioner is in employment at Bangalore. The allegation of such treatment meted out to the informant is against the petitioner as well as others and in particular, mother-in-law, namely, Mamata Pattnaik, who is already on bail by this Court's order in the ABLAPL at Flag-D. Considering the objection of the State and submission of the learned counsel for the informant and the fact that there is a proceeding in C.P. No. 389 of 2025 pending disposal before the learned Family court and nevertheless, the FIR was lodged prior to same and the fact that the allegation to be omnibus, this Court instead of granting him pre-arrest bail is of the view that the petitioner should be directed to surrender before the learned court below for being released with conditions imposed.

4. Hence, it is ordered.

5. In the result, the ABLAPL petition stands disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to surrender before learned S.D.J.M., Cuttack within a fortnight from today and in the event, he surrenders in compliance thereof, shall be released on bail in connection with G.R. Case No.861 of 2025 on furnishing a bail bond of Rs.30,000/- (rupees thirty thousand) with one solvent surety for the like amount to the satisfaction of the court below, which shall be at liberty to impose such other



suitable conditions as deemed just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.

6. Issue urgent certified copy of this order as per rules.

(R.K. Pattanaik)
Judge

Balaram

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
Signed by: BALARAM BEHERA
Designation: PERSONAL ASSISTANT
Reason: Authentication
Location: OHC, CUTTACK
Date: 13-Feb-2026 11:10:05

