



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

RVWPET No. 356 of 2019

Dr. Amiya Ranjan Nayak

.....

Petitioner

Mr. S.K. Das, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha & Anr.

.....

Opposite Parties

Mr. P.K. Panda, ASC

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

22.07.2025

Order No. 03

1. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.
2. Heard.
3. This Review Petition has been filed seeking review of order dtd.16.10.2019 so passed in FAO No. 383 of 2017.
4. It is contended that the FAO so filed by the State, wherein claim of the Review Petitioner had been allowed by the Tribunal, was disposed of on the very first day of its listing in the light of the Judgment passed in the case of ***State of Odisha & Anr. Vs. Anup Kumar Senapati & Anr.*** without giving due opportunity of hearing to the Respondent in the appeal.
 - 4.1. It is contended that since without giving due opportunity of hearing and without notice, the matter was disposed of in the light of the Judgment passed in the case of ***Anup Kumar Senapati***, it is not sustainable in the eye of law.
5. Mr. P.K. Panda, learned Addl. Standing Counsel on the other hand contended that since the matter was found to be covered in the light



of the decision in the case of *Anup Kumar Senapati*, this Court disposed of the FAO vide order dtd.16.10.2019.

6. Having heard learned counsel appearing for the Parties, considering the submissions made and the fact that the appeal was disposed of on the very first day of its listing without giving due opportunity of hearing to the Review Petitioner, this Court is inclined to review the said order and while reviewing the same, set aside order dtd.16.10.2019.

7. Accordingly, the Review Petition stands allowed and disposed of.

(BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY)
Judge

Sneha

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
Signed by: SNEHANJALI PARIDA
Reason: Authentication
Location: High Court of Orissa, Cuttack
Date: 28-Jul-2025 14:38:05

