



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WP(C) No.23733 of 2025

CNR No. ODHC010593872025

Pradip Kumar Ray

.....

Petitioner

Represented by Adv. -

Shambhu Charan Nath Sharma

-versus-

1) M/S.SRIKRISHNA

.....

Opposite Parties

ESTATE AND

CONSTRUCTION PVT.

LTD, BBSR

2) MANORANJAN

Represented by Adv. -

BHUYAN

BISHNU PRASAD SARANGI,

3) NATIONAL CONSUMER

G.DASH,B.PATTNAIK

DISPUTES REDRESSAL

COMMISSION,

REPRESENTED BY ITS

REGISTRAR, NEW DELHI

CORAM:

MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA

ORDER

08.09.2026

Order No.

I.A. No.16787 of 2026

- 05.
1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).
 2. This Interlocutory Application has been filed for correction of the cause title of order dated 08.07.2026.
 3. In view of the aforesaid position, earlier order this Court dated 08.07.2026 is modified to the extent that the cause title shall now be corrected and read as “*Pradip Kumar Ray*” as Petitioner



and “(1) *M/s. Srikrishna Estate and Construction Pvt. Ltd. BBSR*, (2) *Manoranjan Bhuyan*, (3) *National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Represented by its Registrar, New Delhi*” as Opposite Parties”.

4. The names are reflected in the cause title be appropriately corrected. Further the Opposite Party No.1 is directed to act upon production of a certified copy of the order by the Petitioner.

5. Further the Petitioner who is appearing in person before this Court submitted that despite submission of the documents with regard to the collateral security, i.e. in respect of a residential house situated in CDA, Cuttack along with the relevant papers, the same has not been accepted by the SCDRC as of now. In such view of the matter, a further direction is issued to the learned SCDRC to act upon such collateral security subject to verification of the genuineness of such documents, preferably within a period of two weeks from today. Further, liberty is granted to the learned SCDRC to suitably modify and alter the condition or delete the same in the event it is found that the review petition filed before the Hon’ble Supreme Court has already been dismissed in the meantime. Liberty is granted to the Petitioner to raise that point before the SCDRC, the SCDRC shall pass necessary consequential order.

6. Accordingly, the I.A. stands allowed.

(A.K. Mohapatra)
Judge