



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

CRLA No. 1199 of 2025

Shantilata Mallick

....

Appellant

Represented by Adv.–

Mr. Ramakanta Pradhan, Advocate

-Versus-

State of Odisha & Others

....

Respondents

Represented by Adv.–

Mr. Partha Sarathi Nayak, AGA

(for Respondent No.1)

Mr. Puspamitra Mohapatra, Advocate

(for Respondent Nos.2 & 3)

Mr. Chandan Samantaray, Advocate

(for Respondent Nos.4 & 8)

Mr. Ashok Kumar Swain-1, Advocate

(for Respondent No.5)

Mr. Susanta Kumar Baral, Advocate

(for Respondent No.6)

Mr. Dillip Kumar Patra, Advocate

(for Respondent Nos.7 & 9)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANASH RANJAN PATHAK

AND

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SIBO SANKAR MISHRA

ORDER

23.02.2026

(Hybrid Mode)

Order No.

02. I.A. No. 2766 of 2025

1. This I.A. has been filed by the appellant under Section 5 of the Limitation Act seeking for condonation of reported delay of 19 days in preferring the present appeal.



2. The present appeal filed by the informant is against the judgment of acquittal dated 26.06.2025 passed by the learned Sessions Judge, Cuttack in Sessions Trial Case No.119 of 2017, with a reported delay of 19 days.

3. After hearing the learned counsels for the parties and finding sufficient reasons shown for the said delay, we condone the delay in preferring the appeal.

4. Accordingly, the I.A. is allowed and disposed of.

(Manash Ranjan Pathak)
Judge

(Sibo Sankar Mishra)
Judge

CRLA No. 1199 of 2025

5. Heard learned counsels for the parties.

6. Admit this appeal.

7. List this appeal for hearing in its usual course, along with connected GCRLA No.12/2025 and CRLA No.1119/2025.

8. Liberty to mention.

(Manash Ranjan Pathak)
Judge

(Sibo Sankar Mishra)
Judge