



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WA No.2295 OF 2024

Bira Kishore Mohanty

....

Appellant

Represented By Adv. –
Mr. Anup Kumar Mohapatra, Advocate

-versus-

UCO Bank, Kolkata and others

....

Respondents

Represented By Adv. –
Mr. B.B. Swain, Advocate

CORAM:

JUSTICE MANASH RANJAN PATHAK

JUSTICE MRUGANKA SEKHAR SAHOO

ORDER

20.08.2025

(Hybrid Mode)

Order No.

03.

1. The notices issued by the order dated 01.07.2025 have been returned unserved from respondent nos.1 and 2, as per the office note. Mr. Bibhuti Bhusan Swain, learned counsel appearing on behalf of bank submits that he shall appear and obtain instruction on behalf of opposite party nos.1 and 2.

Accordingly, the notice on respondent nos.1 and 2 shall be treated to be sufficient. Name of Mr. Swain shall be indicated in the cause list as well as case brief as the learned counsel for respondent nos.1 & 2.

I.A. No.6038 of 2024

2. The Writ Appeal has been filed after brooking a reported delay of 15 days.



3. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that adequate reasons have been shown for condonation of delay.
4. Learned counsel for the respondents taking a fair stand, as the reported delay in filing the appeal is only 15 days does not have serious objection.
5. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, we are satisfied that sufficient cause has been shown for condonation of delay for 15 days in filing of the appeal. The delay in filing the appeal is condoned.

The I.A. is favoured and disposed of.

WA No.2295 of 2024

6. Mr. Mohapatra, learned counsel for the appellant was heard at length. Thereafter, Mr. B. B. Swain, learned counsel, who appears for the respondents-management was also heard substantially.
7. Learned counsel for the parties are requested to obtain instruction in terms of the deliberations made in the Court today.

On consent, list on 24th September, 2025.

(Manash Ranjan Pathak)
Judge

(Mruganka Sekhar Sahoo)
Judge