



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
W.P.(C) No.22339 of 2025

Chakrapani Pangi

.... ***Petitioner***

Represented By Adv.

Mr. Basant Kumar Nanda

-versus-

State of Odisha & Ors.

.... ***Opposite Parties***

Represented By ASC

Mr. Rajdeep Pradhan

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE SANJEEB K. PANIGRAHI

ORDER

21.08.2025

Order

No.

01.

1. This matter is taken up through hybrid arrangement.
2. In filing this Writ Petition, the Petitioner alleged to be an encroacher has made the following prayer:-

It is therefore humbly prayed that your Lordship would graciously be pleased to admit this Writ petition, issue notices to the opposite parties calling upon them to give show cause as to why the representation/appeal of the petitioner vide Annexure-1 series pending before them against order of the fresh R.O.R shall not be disposed of within a stipulated time and if the Opp. Parties fails to show cause or show insufficient cause then the make the Rule



absolute, allowing the writ petition of the poor petitioner for the interest of Justice :

3. Heard.

4. During course of hearing, learned counsel for the State, at the outset, submits that since no notice of eviction has been issued to the Petitioner till today, the prayer made in this Writ Petition is premature.

5. At this juncture, learned counsel for the Petitioner prays for granting permission to the Petitioner to ventilate his grievance before the Collector and District Magistrate, Malkangiri.

6. Considering the submissions made on behalf of both the parties, this Court without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case of the Petitioner, directs the Petitioner to approach the Collector and District Magistrate, Malkangiri along with a representation for redressal of his grievance within a period of seven working days hence. In such event, the said authority shall look into the grievance of the Petitioner and pass a lawful order in the matter within a period of three weeks thereafter.

7. It is further directed that till a decision on the representation to be filed by the Petitioner is taken, no



coercive action shall be taken against the Petitioner pursuant to the dispute involved herein.

8. This Writ Petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

(Dr. Sanjeeb K. Panigrahi)
Judge

Sumitra

