



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

RVWPET No. 168 of 2024

Bhubaneswar Sital Sasthi Committee **Petitioner**
M/s. S. K. Mishra, Sr. Advocate & Associates

-Versus-

State of Odisha & others **Opposite Parties**
Mr. N.K. Praharaj, AGA

CORAM:
MR. JUSTICE R.K. PATTANAİK

ORDER
09.08.2024

Order No.

- 02.
1. This matter is taken up through virtual mode.
 2. Heard Mr. Mishra, learned Senior Advocate appearing for the petitioner and Mr. Praharaj, learned AGA appearing for the State.
 3. Instant review petition is filed by the petitioner with respect to the Court's order dated 5th December, 2023 passed in W.P.(C) No.38779 of 2023 on the grounds stated.
 4. Since the Court has passed earlier orders in W.P.(C) Nos. 5630 & 38779 of 2023, it is inclined to consider the response of the State as well as opposite party No.7.
 5. Learned counsel for the petitioner is requested to serve a copy of the review petition with annexures on the State in course of the day.



6. Notice to opposite party No.7 is however dispensed with for the present pending response of the State.

7. List this matter on 12th August, 2024 for orders.

(R.K.Pattanaik)
Judge

I.A. No. 257 of 2024

03.

1. Heard.

2. Instant petition is filed by the petitioner for condonation of delay in filing the review petition in terms of Section 5 of the Limitation Act on the grounds stated.

3. Considering the explanation offered towards delay in filing of the review petition which is to effect that the Court's order in W.P. (C) No. 38779 of 2023 was not within the knowledge of the petitioner, the delay which has occasioned as a result, in the considered view of the Court, should be accordingly condoned.

4. Hence, it is ordered.

5. I.A. stands allowed with the delay being condoned.

(R.K. Pattanaik)
Judge

I.A. No. 253 of 2024

04.

1. Heard.

2. Instant petition is filed by the petitioner to keep the Court's order dated 5th December, 2023 in W.P. (C) No. 38779 of 2023 in abeyance till disposal of the review petition.



3. Considering the facts pleaded on record and submission of Mr. Mishra, learned Senior Advocate appearing for the petitioner, this Court though not inclined to stay the order dated 5th December, 2023 is of the view that there should not be any coercive action against the petitioner till the next date awaiting response of the State in particular and accordingly, it is directed.
4. List on the date fixed.
5. An urgent certified copy of this order be issued as per rules and in course of the day.

(R.K. Pattanaik)
Judge

I.A. No. 256 of 2024

- 05.
1. Heard.
 2. Instant petition is filed for dispensing with the certified copy of the Court's order dated 5th December, 2023 passed in W.P. (C) No. 38779 of 2023.
 3. For the reason stated therein, I.A. is stands allowed.
 4. Consequently, I.A. is disposed of dispensing with the petitioner from filling certified copy of the Court's order in W.P.(C) No. 38779 of 2023 dated 5th December, 2023.

(R.K.Pattanaik)
Judge

I.A.No. 258 of 2024

- 06.
1. Heard.



2. Instant petition is filed by the petitioner seeking leave to prosecute the review petition before this Court on the grounds stated.

3. For the reason stated therein and considering the submission of Mr. Mishra, learned Senior Advocate for the petitioner, as the petitioner was not a party before this Court in W.P.(C) No. 38779 of 2023, he should be allowed to prosecute the review petition and accordingly, it is directed.

4. Consequently, I.A. stands disposed of.

(R.K.Pattanaik)
Judge

kabita