



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

BLAPL No.8254 of 2025

Hrushikesh Mallik

...

Petitioner

Mr. A.K. Ray, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha

...

Opposite Party

Mr. M.K. Mohanty, Addl. PP

**CORAM:
JUSTICE G. SATAPATHY**

**ORDER(ORAL)
12.12.2025**

**Order No.
06.**

I.A. No. 1086 of 2025

1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical Mode).
2. After seeing the medical papers obtained by the learned State counsel, Mr. Asish Kumar Ray, learned counsel for the petitioner prays to not press the I.A. Accordingly, the I.A. No. 1086 of 2025 stands disposed of as not pressed.

BLAPL No.8254 of 2025

3. This is an application U/S.483 of BNSS by the petitioner for grant of bail in connection with Pattamundai P.S. Case No.42 of 2025 corresponding to G.R. Case No. 106 of 2025 pending in the file of learned J.M.F.C., Pattamundai, for commission of offences punishable U/Ss. 296/126(2)/115(2)/118(1)/329(3)/351(2)/109/324(4)/3(5) of BNS, on the main allegation of attempting to the life of the deceased-Pahali Malik and some others by assaulting them with deadly weapons, along with co-accused persons resulting in



death of the deceased Pahali Malik and serious injuries to other persons.

4. Heard, Mr. Asish Kumar Ray, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. M.K. Mohanty, learned Addl. PP in the matter and perused the record.

5. After having considered the rival submissions upon perusal of record, there appears allegation against the petitioner for assaulting the deceased and his family members, along with co-accused persons by deadly weapons, but the deceased was given treatment at SCB, MCH, Cuttack, however, he subsequently expired after submission of charge sheet in this case. Further, it is found from the record that preliminary cause of the death of the deceased is opined to be on account of Cerebro Events.

6. In such view of the matter and taking into consideration the nature and gravity of the offences as alleged against the petitioner vis-à-vis the accusations sought to be brought against him and regard being had to the materials collected in support of the allegation against the petitioner and keeping in view the other circumstances on record in entirety, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

Hence, the bail application of the petitioner stands rejected. Accordingly, the bail application stands disposed of.

(G. Satapathy)
Judge