



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

ABLAPL No. 9110 of 2025

1. Bigyan Mahakur **Petitioners**
2. Anjali Mahakur
3. Sugyan Mahakur
4. Anandini Padhan
5. Sourabh Sankar Mahakur
6. Uttara Mahakur
7. Dasarath Padhan

Mr. R. Roy, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha **Opposite Party**

Mr. S. Panigrahi, ASC

CORAM: JUSTICE V. NARASINGH

ORDER

12.08.2025

Order No.

- 01.** 1. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioners and learned counsel for the State.
2. The Petitioners are seeking pre-arrest bail in connection with G.R. Case No.345 of 2025 pending on the file of learned S.D.J.M., Birmaharajpur arising out of Ulunda P.S. Case No.98 of 2025 for commission of offences punishable under Sections 109/115(2)/118(1)/296/3(5)/351(3) of BNS.
3. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioners that because of counter FIR, Petitioners



have been falsely implicated in the case at hand. Hence, they may be protected by pre-arrest bail.

4. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer.

5. On perusal of the FIR, it is seen that specific overt act is attributed to Petitioner No.1.

6. Hence, this Court is not inclined to entertain the application for pre-arrest bail in respect of Petitioner No.1 (Bigyan Mahakur).

7. So far as the ABLAPL in respect of Petitioner Nos.2 to 7 is concerned, it is directed that on surrendering within three weeks hence and moving for bail, the Petitioner Nos.2 to 7 shall be released on bail by the learned Court in seisin on such terms as deemed just and proper.

8. It is needless to state that the Petitioner Nos.2 to 7 shall cooperate with the ongoing investigation.

9. Accordingly, the ABLAPL stands disposed of.

10. U.C.C. as per rules.

(V. NARASINGH)
Judge

Santoshi

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
Signed by: SANTOSHI LENKA
Reason: Authentication
Location: High Court of Orissa, Cuttack
Date: 14-Aug-2025 16:21:59

