



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

ABLAPL No.9087 of 2025

Gitanjali Samal

....

Petitioner

Mr. A. Das, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha

....

Opposite Party

Mr. S. Panigrahi, ASC

ABLAPL No.7874 of 2025

Pravasini Sahu

....

Petitioner

Mr. P.K Barik, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha

....

Opposite Party

Mr. S. Panigrahi, ASC

ABLAPL No.9089 of 2025

***Rama Chandra Behera @
Kalia***

....

Petitioner

Mr. A. Das, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha

....

Opposite Party

Mr. S. Panigrahi, ASC



CORAM: JUSTICE V. NARASINGH

ORDER

11.08.2025

Order No.

- 01.** 1. It is apt to note here that ABLAPL No.7874 of 2025 is posted to 26.08.2025. And, since all the matters arise out of Colliery P.S. Case No.692 of 2024, they are heard together and disposed of by this common order on the consent of the parties.
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioners and learned counsel for the State.
3. The Petitioners are seeking pre-arrest bail in connection with G.R Case No.1517 of 2024 pending in the Court of learned 4th Addl. Civil Judge-cum-JMFC (Cognizance Taking), Talcher, arising out of Colliery P.S. Case No.692 of 2024 for commission of offence punishable under Sections 318(4) & 3(5) of the BNS, 2023.
4. It is stated by the learned counsel that the allegations against the present Petitioners is that they have duped the gullible ladies to the tune of Rs.15,00,000/- on the pretext of curing the informant's family members of the kidney diseases.
5. It is further submitted by the learned counsel that the Petitioners have been falsely implicated in the case at hand and since the Petitioners are in ABLAPL No.9087 and 7874 of 2025 are ladies, they may be protected by pre-arrest bail.



6. Learned counsel for the State opposes such prayer.

7. It is submitted by the learned counsel that even if the entire allegations are accepted at its face value, custodial interrogation of the Petitioners is not necessary.

8. Learned counsel for the State opposes such prayer.

9. Taking into account the nature of allegation and the manner in which the same has been committed, this Court is persuaded to hold that the investigation in the case at hand will be an empty formality if the Petitioners are insulated by pre-arrest bail.

10. Considering the same, this Court is not inclined to entertain the application for pre-arrest bail. However, in the event the Petitioners surrender before the learned Court in seisin and move an application for their release on bail, the same shall be considered on its own merit.

11. Accordingly, the ABLAPL stands disposed of.

(V. NARASINGH)
Judge

Soumya

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
Signed by: SOUMYA RANJAN SAMAL
Reason: Authentication
Location: High Court of Orissa
Date: 13-Aug-2025 20:42:48

