



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

ABLAPL No.8997 of 2025

Arun Kumar Nayak

....

Petitioner

Mr. B.K Raj, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha

....

Opposite Party

Mr. S.N. Das, ASC

CORAM: JUSTICE V. NARASINGH

ORDER

13.08.2025

Order No.

- 01.** 1. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and learned counsel for the State.
2. The Petitioner is seeking pre-arrest bail in connection with C.T. Case No.134 of 2025 pending in the Court of learned J.M.F.C., Tigiria arising out of Tigiria P.S. Case No.139 of 2025 for commission of offence punishable under Sections 126(2)/ 127(2)/ 115(2)/ 117(2)/ 109(1)/ 351(3) and 3(5) of BNS, 2023.
3. It is stated by the learned counsel that because of their political affiliations, the Petitioner has been falsely implicated. Hence, he may be protected by pre-arrest bail.
4. Learned counsel for the State opposes such prayer.



5. This Court perused the statement of the injured in which the name of the Petitioner has been specifically mentioned. The criminal antecedents of the Petitioner runs thus:

"XXX XXX XXX

1. Tigiria PS case No-18 of 2008, U/s-294/326/341/506/34 IPC.
2. Tigiria PS case No-114 of 2012, U/s-94/323/354/341/506/34 IPC.
3. Tigiria PS case No-91 of 2017, U/s-294/ 323/ 341/ 354/ 448/ 506/ 34 IPC.
4. Tigiria PS case No-152 of 2023, U/s-294/ 323/ 325/ 341/ 506/ 34 IPC.
5. Tigiria PS case No-76 of 2024 U/S-147/ 148/ 341/ 294/ 323/ 307/ 324/ 379/ 506/ 149 IPC.
6. Tigiria PS case No 104 of 2024 U/S-341/307/506/427/120-8/34 IPC/r/w Sec.25/27 Arms Act/4&5 Explosive Substance Act.
7. Tigiria PS case No 132 of 2024, U/S-286/294/323/324/506/34 IPC/r/w Se.4&5 Explosive Substance Act.
8. Tigiria PS case No-168 of 2024, U/s-191(2)/191(3)/126(2)/396/115(2)/125/351(3)/109/190 BNS/R/w Sec.34 ES Act.
9. Athagarh PS Case No-76 of 2006, U/s-307/34 IPC/R/W Sec.25/27 Arms.
10. Tigiria PS Case No. 281 of 2024 U/s-308 (3)/351 (3)/61 BNS, 2023 r/w Sec. 25/27/29 Arms Act.

XXX XXX XXX"

6. So far as the criminal antecedents are concerned, it is submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner



that since he is a person in a public life, he has been implicated in all those cases.

7. Taking note of the statement of the injured, this Court is not inclined to entertain the application for pre-arrest bail in respect of Petitioner. However, it is directed that the Petitioner may surrender before the learned J.M.F.C., Tigiria in connection with the aforementioned case within one month from today.

In the event of his surrender and motion for bail, the same be considered by the learned J.M.F.C., Tigiria on merits, in the first hour of the day.

In the event of rejection of the prayer for bail by the learned J.M.F.C., Tigiria, the Petitioner is at liberty to move the higher forum for bail in the second hour on the same day.

8. On being so moved, the higher forum is requested to make an endeavour to dispose of the bail application of the Petitioner on the same day on merit in accordance with law. `

The case diary shall be made available to the concerned courts to facilitate disposal of the bail application of the Petitioner and learned J.M.F.C., Tigiria is called upon to transmit the case record to the higher forum at the earliest in the event of rejection of such bail application.

Ground of Parity, if any, may be considered by the learned court (s) below.



9. Accordingly, the ABLAPL stands disposed of.
10. U.C.C. as per rules.

(V. NARASINGH)
Judge

Soumya

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
Signed by: SOUMYA RANJAN SAMAL
Reason: Authentication
Location: High Court of Orissa
Date: 15-Aug-2025 11:43:55

