



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

ABLAPL No.9529 of 2025

Nirmal Kumar Ojha

....

Petitioner

Mr. C.K. Mishra, Advocate

-versus-

1. State of Odisha

....

Opposite Parties

2. Fakir Charan Sethi

Mr. S. Panda, ASC

CORAM: JUSTICE V. NARASINGH

ORDER

10.09.2025

Order No.

- 02.** 1. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and learned counsel for the State.
2. It is submitted by the learned counsel that the entire allegation is an offshoot of a transaction out of sale of land and by giving it a colour of criminality the FIR has been instituted. Hence, the Petitioner may be protected by pre-arrest bail.
3. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for pre-arrest bail.
4. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the State that there are materials on record to indicate that the sum of Rs.20,00,000/- was credited to the account of the Petitioner on 18.06.2025 through RTGS which is prima facie in tune with the allegations as made in the FIR.



5. In view of the noting of the Registry that notice could not be served, issue notice to the Opposite Party No.2 by Speed Post with A.D. Requisites shall be filed by 17.09.2025.

Informant be noticed through the I.O.. Extra copy of the brief for the purpose be served on the learned counsel for the State by 03.11.2025.

6. List this matter on 03.11.2025.

7. Interim order passed earlier shall continue till the next date.

(V. NARASINGH)
Judge

Jina