



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK**

**ELPET No.27 of 2024**

***Indramani Bhaisal***

....

***Election  
Petitioner***

*Mr. Arun Kumar Nayak,  
Proxy Counsel*

*-versus-*

***Jogesh Kumar Singh &  
others***

....

***Respondents***

*Mr. G. Agrawal, Advocate  
(For Res. No.1)  
Proxy Counsel  
(For Res. No.2)*

**CORAM: JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR MISHRA**

**ORDER**

**14.02.2025**

**I.A. No.1 of 2025**

**Order No.**

**17.**

This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.

**2.** Though this matter stood adjourned to 27<sup>th</sup> January, 2025 vide order dated 02.01.2025, due to non-availability of the Bench, the matter could not be listed on the said date and thereafter also.

**3.** Mr. Arun Kumar Nayak, learned Proxy Counsel, on behalf of Mr. R. N. Mohanty, learned Counsel for the Election Petitioner, files an I.A. in the Court paying therein to grant four weeks' time to file objection to I.A. No.1 of 2025.

**4.** On being pointed out by this Court, learned Proxy Counsel submits that in the prayer portion of the I.A., it



has been inadvertently typed that time has been prayed for filing the written statement. In fact the prayer is to grant time to file objection to I.A. No.1 of 2025. Office is directed to Register the said I.A. and keep the same on record.

**5.** Mr. Gopal Agarwal, learned Senior Counsel for the Private Respondent No.1 so also Mr. Mohit Mohanty, learned proxy Counsel, on behalf of Mr. Gokulananda Parida, learned Counsel for the Private Respondent No.2, submit that they have no objection to such prayer for time.

**6.** Hence, prayer for time to file Objection to I.A. No.01 of 2025 is allowed.

**7.** Accordingly, the I.A. stands disposed of.

**(S. K. MISHRA)**  
**JUDGE**

**18.**

**ELPET No.27 of 2024**

**1.** The matter be listed on 21<sup>st</sup> March, 2025, to be taken up at 4:15 P.M.

**(S. K. MISHRA)**  
**JUDGE**

Mona