

03. 07.01.2020

Heard Mr. S.K. Samal, learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr. B. Mohanty, learned Standing Counsel for School and Mass Education Department.

The petitioners have filed this application seeking to quash the order of rejection dated 30.10.2018 under Annexure-6 rejecting their claim stating that they are not eligible to compete the selection under UR category, as they had availed age and marks relaxation as SEBC category and participated in the selection process as SEBC category in pursuance of the order dated 16.04.2018 passed in W.P.(C) No.5967 of 2018.

Mr. S.K. Samal, learned counsel for the petitioners contended that in the proceeding of the meeting held on 15.03.2018, it was decided that the candidates eligible by getting relaxation in age or percentage of marks in qualifying examination, are not eligible for UR category and they are only eligible for their respective social category. It is contended that the petitioners have received information under the Right to Information Act, which has been placed at Annexure-8 dated 16.08.2019 wherein it has been indicated that so far as sl.no.163 is concerned, one Jagdish Chandra Giri, who belongs to SEBC category and was availed the age and marks relaxation under SEBC category has been given engagement under UR category. Thereby, the petitioners having stood in same footing, they should have extended

the same benefit.

Mr. B. Mohanty, learned Standing Counsel for School and Mass Education Department seeks time to obtain instruction in the matter. Let two extra copies of the writ petition be served on him by day after tomorrow (09.01.2020) enabling him to obtain instruction in the matter.

List after three weeks. Instruction be obtained in the meantime.

Ashok

.....  
**(DR. B.R. SARANGI)**  
**JUDGE**