



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
CRLMC No.2833 of 2025

Vivek Anand Panda

.....

Petitioner

Represented by Adv. –
Debi Prasad Dhal, Sr.
Adv.

-versus-

State Of Odisha & Anr.

.....

Opp. Parties

Represented by Adv. –
S.K. Parhi, A.S.C.

Uma Charan Mishra,
Adv.

CORAM:
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR
MOHAPATRA

ORDER
15.12.2025

Order No.

02.

1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).
2. Sri Debi Prasad Dhal, Senior Advocate enters appearance on behalf of the Petitioner in the present case by filing a memorandum in court today and the same be kept on record. Registry is directed to reflect the name of Mr. Debi Prasad Dhal as learned Senior Counsel for the Petitioner in the brief as well as in the cause list.
3. Mr. Uma Charan Mishra, learned counsel, who is appearing on behalf of the Informant in the connected case, i.e., CRLMC No.934 of 2024, is instructed to appear in this case on behalf of the Opposite Party No.2. Registry is directed to reflect the name of Mr. Uma Charan Mishra learned counsel for the Opposite Party



No.2 in the brief as well as in the cause list.

4. Heard Sri D.P.Dhal, learned Senior Counsel for the Petitioner as well as Mr.Uma Charan Mishra, learned counsel appearing for the Opposite Party No.2.

5. It is stated by learned counsels appearing for both sides that the matter has been amicably settled in the mediation proceeding that took place at the Orissa High Court Mediation Centre in CRLMC No.934 of 2024. They further contended that the report of the Mediator dated 30.08.2024, which has been annexed to the application as Annexure-7, reveals that the Mediation has become successful and that the parties have agreed to resolve their dispute amicably. The learned Senior Counsel appearing for the Petitioner and learned counsel for the Opposite Party No.2 contended that as per the specific agreement the parties have decided to stay together and, accordingly, both sides shall withdraw the cases against each other.

6. Mr.Mishra, learned counsel for the Opposite Party No.2 at this stage contended that the Opposite Party No.2 has already withdrawn the proceeding under the PWDV Act bearing Criminal Misc. Case No.721 of 2023 which was pending before the learned JMFC-I, Bhubaneswar. The present application has been filed at the instance of the husband-petitioner for quashing of the entire criminal proceeding. Mr.Mishra, learned counsel further intimated this Court that the Divorce Proceeding which is pending before the Family Court, has not yet been withdrawn. In such view of the matter, learned counsel for the Opposite Party No.2 sought for some time to obtain instruction.



7. Considering such submissions, list this matter in the week commencing 12.01.2025.
8. Copy of the application be served on Mr.Mishra, learned counsel for the Opposite Party No.2.
9. Further, as agreed by learned counsels for both sides, steps be taken for withdrawal of the Divorce Proceeding in the meantime. It is further stated by learned counsel for the Opposite Party No.2 that he has specific instruction to the effect that in the event the husband-petitioner withdraws the Divorce Proceeding, the Opposite Party No.2-Informant will have no objection if the entire criminal proceeding is quashed.

(A.K. Mohapatra)
Judge

Anil

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
Signed by: ANIL KUMAR SAHOO
Designation: Junior Stenographer
Reason: Authentication
Location: High Court of Orissa
Date: 16-Dec-2025 17:39:38

