



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
WP(C) No.20286 of 2025

Lalatendu Pal

.....

Petitioner

Represented By Adv. -
Lalatendu Samal

-versus-

1) State Of Odisha

.....

Opposite Parties

2) Director, P.r. And D.w. Dept.,
Odisha
3) B.d.o., Tihidi

Represented By Adv. –
Mr.J.K.Bal, AGA

CORAM:

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR
MOHAPATRA**

ORDER

24.07.2025

Order No.

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid mode.
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as
 learned Additional Government Advocate for the State-
 Opposite Parties. Perused the writ application as well as the
 documents annexed thereto.
3. The Petitioner has filed the present writ application
 with the following prayer:

*“It is therefore, prayed that your Lordships’
would be graciously pleased to admit the writ
petition and after hearing the counsels from both
the sides issue Writ/Writs in the nature of
Mandamus with a direction to the Opp.Party
No.3 for drawal and disbursement of differential
salary as well as other financial benefits in favour
of the Petitioner within a fortnight after fixing the
pay of the Petitioner under ORSP Rules, 1998,*



2008 & 2017 respectively as the suspension period of the Petitioner from 24.04.2003 to 18.01.2004 (272 days) has already been regularised vide order dated 26.06.2025 by the Opp.Party no.1 by virtue of direction given by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P.(C) No.6288/2025 on 05.03.2025.

Further the Petitioner prays that the Opp.Party No.3 be directed to release all arrear claims along with pay fixation such as 1st ACP w.e.f. 02.11.2011, RACPs benefits w.e.f. 01.01.2013 and 2nd MACP benefits w.e.f. 02.11.2016 respectively.

AND/OR pass such other order/orders deemed fit and proper;”

4. In course of hearing of the writ application, learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner ventilating his grievance has submitted a representation before the Block Development Officer, Tihidi-Opposite Party No.3 under Annexure-6. It is also submitted that the said representation is still pending for final consideration. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that a direction be issued to the Opposite Party No.3 to consider the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-6 within a stipulated period of time.

5. Learned Additional Government Advocate submits that he has no objection if the representation of the Petitioner is considered by the Opposite Party No.3, which is stated to be pending, in accordance with law within a stipulated period of time.



6. Considering the limited nature of grievance of the Petitioner, the writ application is disposed of at the stage of admission with a direction to the Opposite Party No.3 to consider the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-6 strictly in accordance with law within a period of six weeks from the date of production of certified copy of this order by the Petitioner within two weeks from today. The Opposite Party No.3 shall do well to dispose of the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-6 by passing a speaking and reasoned order. The final decision so taken by the Opposite Party No.2 be also communicated to the Petitioner within two weeks thereafter.

7. With the aforesaid observations/directions, the writ application stands disposed of.

Issue urgent certified copy of this order as per Rules.

(Aditya Kumar Mohapatra)
Judge

RKS