



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WP(C) No.20321 of 2025

Subash Chandra Sahoo

.....

Petitioner

Represented By Adv. -
Jamini Kanta Das

-versus-

***TPCODL Corporate Office,
Bhubaneswar and others***

.....

Opposite Parties

Mr. L.K. Moharana,
Adv. for TPCODL

Mr. A. Routray, Adv.
for OP-5

CORAM:

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR
MOHAPATRA**

ORDER

23.07.2025

Order No.

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid mode.
2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as Mr. L.K. Moharana, learned counsel for TPCODL.
3. By filing the present writ petition the Petitioner has prayed for following relief:

“Under the facts and circumstances. Your Lordships would graciously be pleased to admit this writ petition, issue RULE NISI, calling upon the Opposite parties as to why : -

A) Annexure-2 shall not be quashed;



B) It shall not be so directed / ordered to afford complete relief to the petitioner;

In the event the Opposite parties failed to show cause or show insufficient cause, the rule be made absolute;

And may pass such other order/ orders, direction/directions as this Hon'ble Court deems just, fit, equitable and proper in the facts and circumstances of the present case; ”

4. Learned counsel for the Petitioner at the outset contended that earlier the Petitioner had approached this Court by filing W.P.(C) No.17473 of 2025 which was disposed of by this Court vide order dated 26.06.2025. The operative portion of the order dated 26.06.2025 contained in Para-6 which quoted hereinbelow:

“Having regard to the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the parties, on a careful examination of the background facts as well as keeping in view the nature of the dispute, this Court deems it proper to dispose of the writ application by granting four weeks’ time to the Petitioner to produce the relevant documents before the Opposite parties for their consideration. It is further directed that for a period of four weeks, no coercive action shall be taken against the Petitioner by the Opposite Parties”

5. Learned counsel for the Petitioner referring to the operative portion of the order, submitted that although the Petitioner has submitted the relevant documents vide Annexure-4 before the Opposite Parties, however, they have not yet consider the same. He further submitted that this Court while disposing of the writ petition had granted interim protection for a period of four weeks. Therefore,



the Petitioner apprehends that the Opposite Parties might disconnect the electricity connection. He further submitted that no decision has been taken on the documents submitted by the Petitioner pursuant to order dated 26.06.2025.

6. Mr. Moharana, learned counsel for TPCODL on the other hand contended that the one month time has not expired as of now. Therefore, the present writ petition is premature.

7. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsels for the respective parties, further taking into consideration the apprehension of the present Petitioner, this Court disposes of the present writ petition by extending the interim order by a further period of six weeks with a further direction to the Opposite Parties to take a decision as per the direction contained in Para-6 of order dated 26.06.2025 within a period of four weeks from today. It is further made clear that while taking a decision on the documents of the Petitioner pursuant to order dated 26.06.2025, the Opposite Parties shall provide an opportunity to hearing to any person making any rival claim and pass necessary orders after providing opportunity to the parties likely to be affected.

8. With the aforesaid observation/direction, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Aditya Kumar Mohapatra)
Judge

S.K. Rout

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: SANTANU KUMAR ROUT
Reason: Authentication
Location: High Court of Orissa, Cuttack
Date: 25-Jul-2025 16:12:20