



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

CRLA No. 582 of 2026

Indrajeet Sahu

.... Appellant

Ms. Bini Mishra, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha (Vigilance)

.... Respondent

Mr. Sanjay Kumar Das,
Standing Counsel (for Vigilance)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MISS JUSTICE SAVITRI RATHO

ORDER

14.07.2026

Order No.

(Through hybrid Mode)

01. 1. Heard.
2. By judgment and order dated 20.06.2026 passed by the learned Special Judge, (Vigilance), Bargarh in CTR Case No. 12/75 of 2016/2024 arising out of Sambalpur Vigilance Division P.S. Case No. 41 dated 30.04.2015 corresponding to VGR Case No. 30 of 2015, the Appellant has been convicted for commission of offences punishable under Section 7 and Section 13 (2) read with Section 13 (1) (d) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 (in short "P.C. Act") and sentenced to undergo R.I. for three years and to pay a fine of Rs.10,000/- and in default of payment of fine to suffer R.I. for three months more for the offence under Section 7 of the P.C. Act. The Appellant



has been sentenced to undergo R.I. for three years and to pay fine of Rs.10,000/- in default to suffer R.I. for three months more for the offence under Section 13 (2) read with Section 13 (1) (d) of the P.C. Act. Both the substantive sentences have been directed to run concurrently. The UTP period has been directed to be set off under Section 428 of Cr.P.C.

3. Admit.
4. Call for the scanned copy of the Trial Court Records.
5. List this case on 17th December.2026.
6. Mr. Sanjay Kumar Das, learned Standing Counsel for the Vigilance Department undertakes to file his appearance memo within two weeks.

I.A. No. 1660 of 2026

7. This is an application under Section 389 of the Cr.P.C. for grant of bail during pendency of the appeal.
8. It is stated by the learned counsel for the Appellant that the Appellant had been released on bail after being arrested during investigation and has not misused the liberty granted to him.
9. Considering the submissions of the learned counsel for the Appellant and in view of the substantive sentence imposed, it is directed that if the Appellant- Indrajeet Sahu surrenders before



the learned trial Court within a period of four weeks from today, he shall be released on bail on such terms and conditions as may be fixed by the learned trial Court, during pendency of the Criminal Appeal.

10. This I.A. is disposed of.

I.A. No. 1659 of 2026

11. This I.A. has been filed for staying realization of the fine amount.

12. Ms. Bini Mishra, learned counsel for the Appellant submits that the Appellant is not getting any retirement benefits. Hence, he is not in a financial position to deposit the fine amount.

13. Mr. Sanjay Kumar Das, learned Standing Counsel for the Vigilance Department submits that in view of the taking bribe of Rs.3,000/- from the complainant (P.W.1 in the impugned judgment) and to ensure appearance of the Appellant or his counsel when the appeal is called for hearing, the realization of fine amount should not be stayed.

14. Considering the submissions of both learned counsel and in view of the decisions in the cases of ***Satyendra Kumar Mehra alias Satendera Kumar Mehra vrs. State of Jharkhand: 2018***



(15) SCC 139 and Central Bureau of Investigation vrs. Ashok Sirpal:2024 INSC 819, and in order to ensure that the Appellant or his counsel appear in the case when the matter is taken up for hearing, it is directed that the if the Appellant deposits an amount of Rs.10,000/- out of the total fine amount within a period of four weeks from today in the learned trial Court, the realisation of the balance amount of fine shall remain stayed. This deposit shall be subject to the result of the appeal.

15. It is further directed that this amount shall be kept in fixed deposit in a Nationalized Bank in order to earn interest.

16. The I.A. is disposed of.

(Savitri Ratho)
Judge

puspa