



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

CRLA No.900 of 2025

*Gameli Chinna Rao @ Santu @
Khillia @ Bandhu Santosh @ Killo
Mohan Rao @
Pulleya Melaka* *Appellant/
Petitioner*

Mr. P. K. Jena, Advocate

-versus-

Union of India *Respondent/
Opp. Party*

Mr. Karunakar Nayak, Sr. Panel Counsel
Government of India

CORAM:

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.K. SAHOO
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHITTARANJAN DASH**

ORDER

11.08.2025

Order No.

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid arrangement (video conferencing/physical mode).
2. This Appeal is filed by the Appellant-Gameli Chinna Rao @ Santu @ Khilla @ Bandhu Santosh @ Killo Mohan Rao @ Pulleya Melaka under Section 21(4) of the National Agency Act, 2008 seeking for bail.
3. Learned counsel for the Petitioner has taken an averment in the Appeal that the Appellant is in jail custody in connection with this case since 07.05.2013 and this is the fourth application filed by the Appellant for bail.

The first one i.e. BLAPL No.5724 of 2021 was disposed of on 02.09.2021 and while rejecting the bail application, this Court has directed the learned trial court to take effective steps as



provided in law for early conclusion of the trial as expeditiously as possible.

The second bail application of the Petitioner in BLAPL No.5814 of 2022 was disposed of on 11.01.2023 in which while rejecting the bail application, it was observed that the trial court shall do well to take all effective steps as provided in law for conclusion of the trial as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of six months.

The third bail application of the Petitioner in BLAPL No.10852 of 2023 was disposed of on 07.05.2025 as the Petitioner's counsel sought permission of the Court to withdraw the Application seeking liberty to file appropriate application before the appropriate forum. Though in BLAPL No.5814 of 2022 vide order dated 11.01.2023, this Court had directed the learned trial court to conclude the trial within a period of six months but it appears that on the basis of a letter dated 24.05.2025 submitted by the learned trial court, vide order dated 25.07.2025 another six months time was granted for disposal of the case.

4. Learned counsel for the Appellant submits that he has instructions that except the Investigating Officer, all the prosecution witnesses have been examined and some have been declined.

5. Mr. Karunakar Nayak, learned Sr. Panel Counsel, Govt. of India has entered appearance for the Respondent by filing Appearance Memo, which is taken on record.



6. Let a report be called from the learned trial court about the status of the case for such purpose. The file be placed before the Registrar (Judicial) who shall do the needful in sending the e-mail to the concerned court to obtain the report.

7. Put up this matter in the week commencing from 01.09.2025.

(S.K. Sahoo)
Judge

(Chittaranjan Dash)
Judge

AKPradhan

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
Signed by: ANANTA KUMAR PRADHAN
Designation: Sr. Stenographer
Reason: Authentication
Location: HIGH COURT OF ORISSA
Date: 13-Aug-2025 17:56:33

