



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
CRLMC No.2707 of 2025

Swapna Paikaray

.....

Petitioner

Represented By Adv. -
Achyutananda Pattanaik

-versus-

1) State Of Odisha

.....

Opposite Parties

2) Pabitra Kumar Sahoo

Represented By Adv. –
Mr. U.R. Jena, A.G.A.
M/s Bibekananda Nayak
(o.p.i.d.)

CORAM:
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR
MOHAPATRA

ORDER
16.09.2025

Order No.

- 04.
1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid mode.
 2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as learned counsel for the OPID. Perused the application as well as the prayer made therein.
 3. By filing the present application under Section 528 of B.N.S.S. which corresponds to 428 of Cr.P.C., the Petitioner seeks quashing of the entire criminal case arising out of Capital P.S. Case No.235 of 2015 corresponds to C.T. Case No.25 of 2015 now pending before the learned P.O., Designated Court, under the OPID Act, Cuttack. The abovenoted case was registered for commission of alleged offence punishable under Section 4, 5 & 6 of the Prize Chits and Money circulation Schemes (Banning) Act and Section 6 of OPID Act read with Section 420 and 120(B) of the I.P.C.
 4. Learned counsel for the Petitioner at the outset contended that



the informant has approached this Court by filing the present application. Learned counsel for the Informant at this stage contended that he has already received back his money and that he does not want to proceed further against the accused person.

5. Learned counsel appearing for the OPID on the other hand contended that there are many more depositors in the present case other than the present informant. Therefore, it would not be proper to quash the present proceeding at the instance of one informant merely because he has received back his money.

6. Having heard the learned counsels appearing for respective parties, on a careful examination of the background facts, further taking note of the gravity and seriousness of allegation, this Court, at the instance of the informant, is not inclined to quash the pending criminal proceeding. However, while disposing of the present matter, and taking into consideration the fact that the case is of the year 2015, the learned trial court is directed to make every endeavour to expedite the trial and conclude the same as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of one week from the date of communication of a copy of today's order.

7. With the aforesaid observations and directions, the CRLMC is disposed of.

(Aditya Kumar Mohapatra)
Judge