



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

CRLREV No. 430 of 2025

Narayan Chandra Das & another **Petitioners**
Mr. Rohit Ranjan Ray, Advocate

-Versus-

State of Odisha & another **Opposite Parties**
Mr.S.K.Swain, AGA

CORAM:
MR. JUSTICE R.K. PATTANAİK

ORDER
12.08.2025

Order No.

01. 1. Heard Mr. Ray, learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr. Swain, learned AGA for the State.
2. Notice to opposite party No.2 is dispensed with as the matter is disposed of at the stage of admission.
3. Instant revision is filed by the petitioners challenging the impugned order dated 16th December, 2024 passed in connection with TRPC No. 01 of 2018 seeking transfer of G.R. Case Nos. 882 and 884 of 2016 to the court of learned SDJM, Bhubaneswar or to any other court, such as, the court of learned JMFC, Jatni on the grounds stated.
4. Mr. Ray, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that opposite party No.2 is well connected to the members of the local Bar, hence, such an application seeking transfer of the



cases pending before the court of learned SDJM, Khurda was moved and with a show cause filed and received on 2nd December, 2024 but without proper hearing of the parties, learned court below proceeded to disposed it of by the impugned order i.e. Annexure-9 dated 16th December, 2024. It is further submitted that no hearing was taken place on 2nd December, 2024, the date on which, the show cause reply was filed by opposite party No.2. It is claimed by Mr. Ray, learned counsel that time was applied for but it was not entertained and thereafter, learned court below disposed of the application and rejected the same with an observation that the petitioners are at liberty to resort to e-court services as and when required.

5. From the order dated 2nd December, 2024, it is not revealed if the petitioners requested for time with an application filed. Mr. Ray, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that time was sought for orally but it was not entertained. Considering the plea of the petitioners and apprehension expressed regarding opposite party No.2 having influence in the locality and since it is alleged that no hearing has taken place upon receiving the show cause reply on 2nd December, 2024 itself, the Court is of the view that the request for such transfer should be reconsidered by the learned court below with a decision taken thereon according to law.

6. Hence, it is ordered.

7. In the result, the revision petition stands disposed of with the restoration of the proceeding in TRPC No. 01 of 2018



with a direction to the learned Sessions Judge, Khurda at Bhubaneswar to freshly consider the requests of the petitioners for transfer of the G.R. Cases pending in the file of learned SDJM, Khurda and to pass necessary orders as per and in accordance with law at the earliest preferably within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

8. Issue urgent certified copy of this order as per rules.

(R.K. Pattanaik)
Judge

kabita

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
Signed by: KABITARANI MAJHI
Reason: Authentication
Location: OHC, Cuttack
Date: 14-Aug-2025 18:23:20

