



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.19875 of 2025

Purna Chandra Meher

....

Petitioner

Mr. N. Rath, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha & Others

....

Opposite Parties

Mr. C.K. Pradhan, AGA

COROM:

JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

23.07.2025

Order No

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for Petitioner and learned counsel for the State-Opposite Parties.

3. The present Writ Petition has been filed *inter alia* with the following prayer:-

“ It is, therefore, most humbly prayed that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased Admit the writ application, to issue rule Nisi calling upon the opposite parties to show cause as to why the prayers made hereunder be not allowed, upon showing insufficient cause/ no cause make the said Rule absolute, Issue writ/ writs in the nature of

i. Mandamus directing Opposite Parties to regularize service of the petitioner taking into account his long tenure of service and extend all consequential service and financial benefits in favor of the petitioner from the date his juniors were regularized as per order did. 30.08.1997 under Annexure-2 within a stipulated period by this Hon'ble Court keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is going to retire in February 2026 and further taking into account the decisions rendered by this Hon'ble Court and Hon'ble Supreme Court of India

ii. And/or may pass such other writ/writs, order/orders, direction/directions as this Hon'ble Court may think fit and proper for the ends of justice.

And for this act of kindness the petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray.”.



4. Learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the request made to Opposite Party No.3 under Annexure-5 to the writ petition is pending, but till date nothing has been done in the matter. In such background, he prays that a direction be issued to Opposite Party No.3 to take a decision on the above noted request within a specific time period.

5. Considering the submissions made and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court directs Opposite Party No.3 to take a decision on the above noted request so made under Annexure-5 in accordance with law, within a period of two (2) months from the date of receipt of this order with due communication to the Petitioner.

6. However, considering the submission that similar claim has been allowed by this Court in its order dtd.21.09.2023 in W.P.(C) No.22772 of 2018, this Court further observes that while taking a decision as directed, relevancy and effect of the order dtd.21.09.2023 be taken into consideration, if it is applicable to the claim of the Petitioner. Petitioner is directed to provide a copy of this order along with the order passed in W.P.(C) No.22772 of 2018 before Opposite Party No.3 for compliance.

7. Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands disposed of.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

Subrat

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
Signed by: SUBRAT KUMAR BARIK
Reason: Authentication
Location: HIGH COURT OF ORISSA, CUTTACK
Date: 24-Jul-2025 10:58:20

