



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
CRLA No. 549 of 2026

Rajendra Choudhury ***Appellant***

Mr. Saroj kumar Padhy, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha(Vigilance) ***Respondent***

Mr. Sanjay Kumar Das,
Standing Counsel (Vigilance)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MISS JUSTICE SAVITRI RATHO

ORDER

13.07.2026

Order No.
01.

(Through hybrid Mode)

1. Mr. S. K. Das, learned Standing Counsel for submits that copy of the appeal memo has not been served on him or in their office.
2. Mr. Padhy, learned counsel for the Appellant submits that inadvertently the copy of the appeal memo has been submitted in the Office of the learned Advocate General on 02.07.2026. He, therefore, submits that in course of the day he will serve a copy on the learned counsel for the Vigilance and the case may be taken up on 20.07.2026.
3. Considering the said submission, list this matter on 20.07.2026.



4. The Registry, especially the filing assistant and the stamp reporter is directed to verify whether copy has been served on the counsel for the vigilance, if the Opposite Party is the Vigilance or Vigilance Directorate. Service of copy on the learned Advocate General should not be considered to be compliance and should be indicated as a defect.

(Savitri Ratho)
Judge

Subhalaxmi