



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
CRLMC No.2560 of 2025

P. Raja Rao Subudhi

.....

Petitioner

Represented By Adv. –
Mr. Soura Chandra
Mohapatra, Senior
Advocate along with Mr.
Puspamitra Mohapatra.

-versus-

State of Odisha and others

.....

Opposite Parties

Represented By Adv. –

Mr. U.R. Jena, AGA

CORAM:
THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR MOHAPATRA
ORDER
02.09.2025

Order No.

- 02.
1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual /Physical Mode).
 2. Heard Mr. S.C. Mohapatra, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the Petitioner as well as learned counsel for the State-Opposite Party.
 3. On instruction, learned counsel for the State produced a copy of the letter dated 24.08.2025 written by the IO, Info Valley PS, Bhubaneswar UPD.
 4. On perusal of the said letter, it appears that two machines were seized on different dates, i.e. on 06.07.2024 and 09.06.2024. While releasing the machine in zima to one Dhamendra Singh Parmar, the other machine, which was seized on 09.06.2024, was left at the spot.
 5. Learned Senior Counsel appearing for the Petitioner, at this



juncture, contended that a part of the machine, which was left at the spot, is missing and the same was not produced on the application of the Petitioner filed before the trial court.

6. It appears it is a serious allegation against the concerned I.O.

7. Accordingly, the I.O. is directed to file an affidavit explaining the entire position. Further, the I.O. shall also clarify that if the machine was seized, then why it was left at the spot without making necessary arrangement for the safety of the machine and without giving zima to a responsible person.

8. Let the affidavit be filed within two weeks hence.

9. List this case in the week commencing 22nd September, 2025.

(A.K. Mohapatra)
Judge

Debasis

Signature Not Verified

Digitally Signed
Signed by: DEBASIS AECH
Reason: Authentication
Location: ORISSA HIGH COURT
Date: 03-Sep-2025 17:11:27

