



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

WP(C) No.20244 of 2026

Dillip Kumar Pal

.....

Petitioner

Represented by Adv. -
Sanjib Mohanty

-versus-

***Utkal University, Bhubaneswar
& Ors.***

.....

Opposite Parties

Represented by Adv. –
Sasmita Nayak, A.S.C.

CORAM:

**THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADITYA KUMAR
MOHAPATRA**

ORDER

06.07.2026

Order No.

01.

1. This matter is taken up through Virtual Mode.
2. Heard learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner as well as learned Additional Standing Counsel appearing for the State- Opposite Parties. Perused the writ application as well as the documents annexed thereto.
3. The Petitioner has filed the present writ application with the following prayer:

“Under such circumstances, it is humble prayed that this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to admit the case and issue notice to the Opposite Parties to file their show cause as to why the case of the petitioner shall not be allowed and after hearing the parties, the case of the petitioner be allowed.

And pass necessary order by directing the opp. party No.1 to 4 to regularize the service of the petitioner



from the date of his initial appointment i.e. 16.12.1988 and the entire period of service rendered by the petitioner be treated as regular and further direction be given to the opp. party No.1 to 4 to give him all other consequential service benefit along with revised pension and pensonary benefits within a stipulated period

And/or given necessary direction to the Opp. Party No.1 and to dispose of the representation of the petitioner vide Annexure -14 dtd:09.01.2026 within a stipulated period;

And/or to pass any other order(s) which deemed fit and proper for effective and adjudication of the case.”

4. In course of hearing of the writ application, learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner ventilating his grievance has submitted representation before the Registrar, Utkal University, Bhubaneswar, Opposite Party No.1 under Annexure-14. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that the said representation is pending as of now. It is also submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that a direction be issued to the Opposite Party No.1 to consider the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-14 within a stipulated period of time.

5. Learned Additional Standing Counsel submits that she has no objection if the representation of the Petitioner is considered by the Opposite Party No.1, which is stated to be pending, in accordance with law within a stipulated period of time.

6. Considering the limited nature of grievance of the Petitioner, the writ application is disposed of at the stage of admission with a direction to the Opposite Party No.1 to consider the representation



of the Petitioner under Annexure-14 keeping in view the office orders at Annexures-6 to 13 within a period of six weeks from the date of production of certified copy of this order. The Opposite Party No.1 shall do well to dispose of the representation of the Petitioner under Annexure-14 by passing a speaking and reasoned order. The decision so taken by the Opposite Party No.1 be also communicated to the Petitioner within ten days thereafter.

7. With the aforesaid observation/ direction, the writ application stands disposed of.

Issue urgent certified copy of this order as per Rules.

(A.K. Mohapatra)
Judge

Anil