



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.19707 of 2026

Phalguni Majhi

....

Petitioner

Mr. N. Lenka, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha & Ors.

....

Opposite Parties

Mr. A. Tripathy, AGA

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

01.07.2026

Order No.

01.

1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Mode.
2. On the oral prayer made by the learned counsel for the Petitioner he is permitted to implead ADM, Kalahandi as Opp. Party No.8 in Court.
3. Heard learned counsel appearing for the Parties.
4. The present Writ Petition has been filed inter alia with the following prayer:-

“It is therefore prayed that this Hon'ble Court would graciously be pleased to admit the writ petition, issue Rule NISI in the nature of writ of mandamus or any other writ/writs as deem fit and proper calling upon the Opp. Parties to show cause as to why the decision of the Selection Committee dtd.23.06.2026 so far as Polakamunda Angan Wadi Centre is concer shall not be declared as illegal and why the petitioner shall not be given order of engagement in respect of the said AWC and alternatively why the Opp.Party No.1 shall not be directed to consider the representation of the petitioner vide annexure-6 before any appointment made against the post of Anganwadi worker in respect of Polakamunda Anganwadi Centre under Jaypata Block in the district of Kalahandi.

And if the Opp. Parties fail to show cause or show insufficient cause the said Rule be made absolute;



And/or pass any other writ/writs, order/orders the Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in the facts & circumstances of the case

And for which act of kindness, the petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray."

5. In course of hearing learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner contended that Petitioner be permitted to move Opp. Party No.8 who is the Appellate Authority on the issue involved in the present Writ Petition.

6. It is also contended that if any interim application will be filed along with the application before Opp. Party No.8, the same be also considered.

7. Learned Addl. Govt. Advocate has no serious objection to the course of action proposed by the learned counsel for the Petitioner.

8. Having heard learned counsel for the Parties and considering the submission made this Court while disposing of the Writ Petition permits the Petitioner to move a proper application before Opp. Party No.8, on the issue involved in the present Writ Petition along with the interim application, if any.

9. It is observed that if any such application will be filed, Opp. Party No.8 shall take a lawful decision on the same and will also pass appropriate order on the interim application in accordance with law by giving due opportunity of hearing to all concerned.

10. Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands disposed of.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge