



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

BLAPL No.6838 of 2024

Parsuram Rajneth Bhai Pal* ... *Petitioner

Ms. C. Tripathy, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha* ... *Opposite Party

Mr. J.P. Patra, Advocate (OPID)

**CORAM:
JUSTICE G. SATAPATHY**

**ORDER(ORAL)
07.03.2026**

**Order No.
27.**

I.A. No. 69 of 2026

1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical Mode).
2. This is an application by the petitioner for extension of interim bail for a period of another two months (60days) on the ground of self-treatment.
3. Heard, Ms. Chandana Tripathy, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. J.P. Patra, learned counsel for the OPID in the matter and perused the record together with the instruction of the IO as supplied in this case.
4. On perusal of record, it appears that this Court by way of an order dated 01.12.2025 passed in IA No.1069 of 2025 has granted interim bail to the petitioner for a period of 45 days, subject to certain conditions for his treatment of multivessel coronary artery disease which requires CABG (coronary artery bypass graft), but the petitioner after undergoing surgery on 03.01.2026 and discharged from the



Medanta Hospital on 04.01.2026 as per the instruction produced by the IO today is pressing this IA for extension of interim bail after its expiry, however, on the last date, it was submitted for the petitioner that he is willing to undergo a laser angioplasty procedure, which was stated in the affidavit sworn in by the brother of the petitioner annexing medical documents of Medanta Hospital, in which it was stated that the patient is undergoing dental treatment for infection and plan is for to do PCI after dental treatment, but it appears that the petitioner had intentionally omitted to disclose about him already undergone CABG on 03.01.2026 in such affidavit. It, however, found from the record that the interim bail granted to the petitioner for 45 days was subject to condition of his producing document sharing progress in the treatment to the Court in seisin over the matter in every 15 days with copy to learned State counsel and this order was passed to evaluate the health condition of the petitioner during interim bail, but when the matter was taken up on 04.02.2026, one medical document dated 04.02.2026 stated to be issued by NH Narayan Super Speciality Hospital, Gurugaon, New-Delhi was produced by the petitioner in which the treatment plan for the petitioner was stated to be for **EARLY LASER ASSISTED ANGIOPLASTY AND STENTING** with advice for his admission on 20th February, 2026 and



the aforesaid medical document was supplied to the learned OPID counsel who on the next date i.e. on 17.02.2026 produces the written instruction received from the IO enclosing the opinion dated 07.02.2026 of Dr. Madhukar Shahi of Narayan Hospital who in such document perusing the reports and CD angio of the petitioner had opined that the patient needs either laser assisted angioplasty and stent (ELCA + stent) or coronary artery bypass surgery (CABG), but surprisingly enough it is found from the written instruction of the IO as produced today that the petitioner had already undergone CABG on 03.01.2026 at Medanta Hospital and was discharged on 04.01.2026 which speaks against the conduct of the petitioner in misleading the Court.

5. It is relevant to reiterate that the petitioner had earlier sought for and availed interim bail w.e.f.06.06.2025 to 04.07.2025, but during that period, it was found from the report of the IO that the petitioner stayed in a hotel namely Rayal Lagoon in room no.102, situated at Rajmahal Chhak, Bhubaneswar and visited AIIMS Hospital, Bhubaneswar for many times, however, he avoided heart surgery by taking various pleas and the petitioner did not co-operate the escort party then. The aforesaid interim bail of the petitioner for 45days have already expired on 06.02.2026, but on the prayer of the learned counsel for the petitioner,



orders were passed not to take any coercive action against the petitioner with a hope that the petitioner would complete his treatment and surrender to custody. It is further, found from the record, that despite order being passed, the petitioner has not yet disclosed his treatment plan and he has filed an affidavit on the last date only to state that the operation would be conducted only after doctors are satisfied that all safety parameters are within permissible limits, which in the aforesaid circumstance appears to be incorrect inasmuch as the petitioner has already undergone CABG on 03.01.2026 and discharged from the hospital on 04.01.2026. In the aforesaid facts and situation, this Court, however, considers that the petitioner has only intention to remain in interim bail without any real treatment and he is avoiding the process of Court by taking one or some other plea.

6. It is not out of place to mention here that the petitioner is having eight criminal antecedents in all over the India excluding this case and the allegation against the petitioner in this case is for misappropriating public money for a sum of Rs.12.87 crore which investment was obtained from 133 investors on the assurance of providing high returns. In the aforesaid facts and situation, especially when the petitioner has mislead the Court by stating in the affidavit that the operation will be conducted only



after doctors are satisfied that all the safety parameters are within permissible limit, but not disclosing the real treatment plan despite being directed and taking into account his involvement in other eight criminal cases in all over India excluding this case and the petitioner being on interim bail for around more than two and half months and he having already undergone CABG around two months before, this Court does not find any justification to extend the interim bail.

Hence the prayer for interim bail to the petitioner stands rejected. Accordingly, the I.A. stands disposed of. The petitioner is, however, advised to surrender to custody within seven days, failing which the Court in seisin of the case may take appropriate steps in accordance with law.

BLAPL No. 6838 of 2024

7. List this matter on 20.03.2026.

(G. Satapathy)
Judge