



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No. 19733 of 2026

Subasini Samal

....

Petitioner

Mr. D.R. Bhokta, Advocate

-versus-

T.P. Paradeep

Transmission Ltd.,

Bhubaneswar & Ors.

....

Opposite Parties

Mr. S.P. Das, ASC

Mr. S.P. Mishra, Sr. Adv. for O.P.1

Mr. L.K. Maharana, Advocate

CORAM:

JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

03.07.2026

Order No.

- 02.** 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical) Mode.
- 2.** Heard learned counsel appearing for the Parties.
- 3.** Mr. S.P. Mishra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Opposite Party No.1 contended that even though a paper publication was made basing on the Gazette issued by the Government of India with regard to taking of over-head line over various land which includes the Petitioner's land, but Petitioner never filed any objection to such taking of over-head line over his recorded land at any point of time.



4. It is further contended that for such type of dispute and as provided under the Telegraph Act, Petitioner has to move before the Collector of the District for consideration of his grievance.

5. At this point of time, learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner contended that he may be permitted to move Opposite Party No.3 for consideration of his grievance as made in the Writ Petition.

5.1. Having heard learned counsel appearing for the Parties and considering the submissions made, this Court while disposing the Writ Petition permits the Petitioner to move Opposite Party No.3 for consideration of his grievance.

5.2 It is observed that if any such application will be filed by the Petitioner on the grievance raised in the Writ Petition within a period of two (2) weeks hence, Opp. Party No.3 shall do well to take a lawful decision on the same within a period of four (4) weeks from the date of receipt of such representation. The order so passed by Opp. Party No.3 be communicated to the Petitioner.

6. Opposite Party No.3 is further directed to take the decision by giving an opportunity of hearing to the Petitioner as well as the authorities of Opposite Party No.1. Any construction made in the meantime, shall be



subject to final outcome of the decision to be taken by the Collector-Opposite Party No.3 as directed.

7. Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands disposed of.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

Subrat