



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.20151 of 2026

CNR No.ODHC010470592026

Laxmidhar Rohidas

....

Petitioner

Mr. Sunil Kumar Panda, Advocate
Mr. Dakshyesh Darshan Naik, Advocate

-versus-

State of Odisha & Ors.

....

Opp. Parties

Mr. Sanjay Rath, AGA

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHITTARANJAN DASH

Order No.

ORDER
14.08.2026

- 03.**
1. Heard learned counsel for both the Parties.
 2. By means of this Application, the Petitioner seeks the indulgence of this Court for a direction to the Opposite Party No.2, i.e., the Sub-Registrar, Jharsuguda, for setting aside the earlier reason of refusal in registering the gift deed of the Petitioner in favour of the claimants.
 3. Mr. Panda, learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that vide order dated 24.07.2026, this Court directed the learned Additional Government Advocate (AGA) to obtain instruction, however, having regard to the subsequent development in the matter, it is submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that



in connection with the subject matter in issue a Civil Suit, has been filed by the daughters of the granter of the gift property before the Civil Judge (Senior Division), Jharsuguda, registered vide Civil Suit No.86 of 2026.

4. It is further submitted that in the aforesaid suit, the daughters have filed an Interim Application registered as I.A. No.34 of 2026 seeking injunction directing the Sub-Registrar, Jharsuguda, not to register the gift deed in favour of the claimant so also the Petitioner and others not to interfere with the property.

5. The learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Jharsuguda, vide order dated 23.07.2026 passed an order while disposing the aforesaid I.A. No.34 of 2026 under Order XXXIX Rules 1 & 2 by allowing the Interim Application directing the Petitioner along with others such as the Opposite Party Nos. 1-3 and 4-6 in the said Interim Application to maintain status quo over the suit land till disposal of the original Suit.

6. In view of the aforesaid order passed by the Competent Court, who is in seisin over the matter for adjudication of the subject dispute, the Opposite Party No. 2, the Sub-Registrar a statutory authority cannot be directed to do or undo an act pursuant to the direction to maintain status-quo.

7. Mr. Adhikari Chittaranjan Das, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the intervenor, the daughters, who are the Plaintiff in Civil Suit No.86 of 2026 and the Petitioners in I.A.No.34 of 2026



subscribes and endorsed the submission made by the learned counsel for the Petitioner with regard to the pendency of the Civil Suit as well as the order passed in I.A. No.34 of 2026.

8. Hence, the present Writ Application stands dismissed as infructuous.

(Chittaranjan Dash)
Judge

Priyanka