



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.19682 of 2026

CNR No.ODHC010470342026

Sanatana Majhi

....

Petitioner

Mr. Anjan Kumar Biswal, Advocate

-versus-

State Of Odisha & Ors.

....

Opp. Parties

Mr. Debashis Tripathy, AGA

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHITTARANJAN DASH

Order No.

ORDER
07.08.2026

- 03.**
1. Heard learned counsel for both the Parties.
 2. By means of this Application, the Petitioner seeks the indulgence of this Court for a direction to Opposite Party No.3, i.e., the District Sub-Registrar, Baripada, Mayurbhanj, who did not act in accordance with the prayer of the Petitioner, by not taking steps to delete the sale transaction dated 13.03.2019 from the records of the Sub-Registrar.
 3. The background facts of the case are that the Petitioner got a land registered vide Sale Deed bearing No. 11261900702 dated 13.03.2019. Since the consideration amount, as agreed upon by and between the Vendor and the Vendee, had not passed on to the Vendor, a dispute arose between the parties, and accordingly, Civil Suit No. 164 of 2019 was preferred by the Petitioner before the learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Baripada, Mayurbhanj.



The said Civil Suit was dismissed on 28.10.2019. Thereafter, the Petitioner preferred an Appeal before the learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Baripada, Mayurbhanj, registered as R.F.A. No.77 of 2019, during pendency of the aforesaid R.F.A. No.77 of 2019, the Parties to the proceeding compromised the matter, and accordingly, the learned District Judge, Baripada, Mayurbhanj, vide order dated 31.01.2022, recorded the compromise, having found the same to be voluntary and lawful.

4. Pursuant to the aforesaid order dated 31.01.2022, it is submitted by Mr. Biswal, learned counsel for the Petitioner that the Petitioner moved the learned Sub-Registrar, Baripada, Mayurbhanj for deletion of the transaction from the encumbrances records, however, the Sub-Registrar, Baripada, Mayurbhanj, did not accept the prayer of the Petitioner.

5. In course of the hearing, it was further enquired if any such move was made before the learned Sub-Registrar, Baripada, Mayurbhanj by way of an Application/Representation; to which the Mr. Biswal, learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that several applications have been made, however, no such application is found annexed. A copy of this Application is found annexed to the Petitioner.

6. Be that as it may, Mr. Biswal, learned counsel for the Petitioner gracefully submits that he would make a representation afresh which may be considered by the Sub-Registrar, Baripada, Mayurbhanj. A direction may be issued for consideration of the



said representation by the Sub-Registrar, Baripada, Mayurbhanj, in accordance with law.

7. Accordingly, while disposing this Writ Application at the stage of admission, without having any objection from the side of the learned Additional Government Advocate (AGA), the Petitioner is directed to submit his representation before the Sub-Registrar, Baripada, Mayurbhanj, within a period of ten (10) days.

In the event, any such representation is made, seeking deletion of the sale transaction from the encumbrances record of the Registrar, the Sub-Registrar, Baripada, Mayurbhanj concerned, shall do well in disposing the said representation in accordance with law by accepting or refusing while assigning a reasoned order.

8. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of.

(Chittaranjan Dash)
Judge

Priyanka