



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK**

**W.P.(C). NO.16334 OF 2024**

***Pyasa Pattanaik***

....

***Petitioner***

Mr.Prafulla Kumar Rath, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Sradha Dash, Adv.

-versus-

***State of Odisha & another***

....

***Opp. Parties***

Mr. Pradeep Kumar Sahoo, ASC

Mr. Prasanjit Pani, Adv.

(For O.P. No.2)

**CORAM:**

**JUSTICE K.R. MOHAPATRA**

**JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR MISHRA**

**ORDER**

**29.01.2025**

**I.A. No.17024 of 2024**

**Order No.**

07. 1. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.
2. This Interim Application has been filed not to include the vacancy in the advertisement to be published in the year 2025, which arose due to non-joining of Ms. Ananya Mishra, a selected candidate in the OJS Examination 2022.
3. Mr. Sahoo, learned ASC placed on record the written statement received from the Special Officer (Administration), High Court of Orissa vide letter dated 13.12.2024, which reads as under.

“Sub:-W.P.(C) No.16334 of 2024 filed by Pyasa Pattnaik Vs. State of Odisha & others.-regarding.

Sir,

With reference to your Letter No.17276/L dated 09.12.2024 on the subject cited above, I am directed to intimate that the vacancy arose due to non-joining of Ms. Ananya Mishra (at Sl. No.3 of the Select List) has not been included in the vacancies of 2023 as the same occurred later to



the publication of the necessary advertisement by the O.P.S.C, Cuttack.

This is for your kind information and necessary action.”

4. In view of the above, Mr. Sahoo, learned ASC submits that the apprehension of the Petitioner is unfounded.

5. Mr. Rath, learned Senior Advocate appearing for the Petitioner submits that since it has been made clear that the post that fell vacant due to non-joining of Ms. Ananya Mishra is not included in the advertisement of OJS 2023, it should not be included in the future advertisements also.

6. Considering the submission made by learned counsel for the parties, this Court finds that no further advertisement beyond OJS 2023 has yet been published. Hence, no further order is required to be passed at this stage as the prayer made in the I.A. is premature.

7. Accordingly, the I.A. is disposed of.

8. Disposal of the I.A. shall not preclude the Petitioner to file similar such application, if cause of action arises in future.

***(K.R. Mohapatra)***  
***Judge***

***(S.K.Mishra)***  
***Judge***



8.

**W.P.(C) No.16334 of 2024**

1. Mr. Sahoo, learned ASC prays for an adjournment to file Counter Affidavit.
2. Let the Counter Affidavit be filed within a period of two weeks hence.
3. Put up this matter on 4<sup>th</sup> March, 2025.

***(K.R. Mohapatra)***  
***Judge***

***(S.K.Mishra)***  
***Judge***

*Mona*