



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

MSA No.24 of 2024

Firoza Khatun **Appellant**

Mr. S. Mishra, Advocate & Associates

-Versus-

Shashi Kumar Sahoo and others **Respondents**

CORAM:
MR. JUSTICE R.K. PATTANAIK

ORDER
19.09.2025

Order
No.
03.

1. As per the office note, neither A.D. nor undelivered notice received back from respondent Nos. 1 & 2.
2. Perused the Postal Tracking Report as at flag-1 & 2 in respect of the respondents. One of such reports vis-à-vis respondent No.1 reveals that the notice is still not delivered. For respondent No.2 the report indicates that the item is delivered.
3. Considering submission of learned counsel for the appellant and since notice has been received by respondent No.2, service of such notice is held to be sufficient. As respondent No.1 is the Managing Partner of respondent No.2 with such service of notice having been received by respondent No.2, no further notice to respondent No.1 may be necessary, hence, it is also treated as sufficient.



4. Since the service of notice vis-à-vis the respondents is treated as sufficient, list the matter on 24th October, 2025 for final hearing and orders.

(R.K. Pattanaik)
Judge

kabita