



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.20764 of 2026

Ramesh Chandra Padhy

Petitioner
Mr. S. Rajguru, Adv.

-versus-

**State of Odisha and
Others**

....

Opp. Parties
Mr. A. Tripathy,
AGA

**CORAM:
JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY**

**Order
No**

**ORDER
09.07.2026**

- 01.** 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical) Mode.
- 2.** Heard learned counsel for the Parties.
- 3.** Petitioner has filed the present Writ Petition with the following prayer:-

“Under the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, it is therefore, prayed that this Hon'ble Court may graciously be pleased to issue a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate:

And further be pleased to direct the opp.parties to disburse the unutilized leave salary of the petitioner.

cost. And further be pleased to direct the opp. parties to pay the

Or pass such other order/orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.



And for which act of kindness the petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray."

4. However, taking into account the claim raised in the present Writ Petition, liberty is granted to the Petitioner to make a detailed representation before Opp. Party No.1 by enclosing all the relevant documents and citations in support of his claim, if any, within a period of two (2) weeks hence.

5. It is observed that if such a representation is filed within the aforesaid period, Opp. Party No.1 shall do well to take a lawful decision on the same within a period of four (4) weeks from the date of receipt of such representation. The order so passed by the Opp. Party No.1 be communicated to the petitioner within the aforesaid time period.

6. Since it is contended that claim of the Petitioner is covered by a judgment passed by this Court on 13.03.2026 in W.P.(C) No.31060 of 2025 & batch, Petitioner is permitted to provide a copy of the judgment along with his application and Opposite Party No.1 is directed to take into consideration the relevancy and effect of the said judgment while considering the claim of the Petitioner.

7. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the Writ Petition is disposed of.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge