



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

CRLMC No. 3010 of 2025

Bishnu Prasad Swain

..... *Petitioner(s)*

Mr. Ajit Chandra Mohapatra, Adv.

-Versus-

Shyamananda Jena & Anr.

..... *Opposite Party(s)*

Mr. Purna Chandra Das, Adv.

(for O.P.1)

CORAM:

DR. JUSTICE SANJEEB K PANIGRAHI

ORDER

26.03.2026

Order No.

09.

I.A. No.826 of 2026

1. This matter is taken up through hybrid arrangement.
2. Heard.
3. This I.A. has been filed by the Opposite Party No.1 for modification of the order dated 19.03.2026 passed by this Court in CRLMC No.826 of 2026.
4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that in the cause title of the order dated 19.03.2026 the appearance of the counsel has wrongly been reflected as “for O.P.2” instead of “for O.P.1”. He further submits that in 7th line of paragraph-5 of the aforesaid order the plot number has wrongly been reflected as Plot No.53 and 54” instead of “Plot No.55”.



5. Learned counsel for the Petitioner further contends that necessary direction may be given to the Opposite Party No.2 to take steps in accordance with Annexure-1.
6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the applicant and the averments made in the I.A, prayer is allowed. Accordingly, it is directed that, the appearance of the counsel wrongly reflected as "for O.P.2" in the cause title of the aforesaid order be read as "for O.P.1". Further, the Plot No.53 and 54 wrongly reflected in the 7th line of paragraph-5 of the aforesaid order be read as "Plot No.55" and the following sentence be read as the last sentence of paragraph-8 of the aforesaid order.

"The Opposite Party No.2 is directed to act in accordance with Annexure-1 without any further delay."
7. All other parts of the original order shall remain unaltered.
8. The I.A. is disposed of.

(Dr. Sanjeeb K Panigrahi)
Judge