



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
BLAPL No.6444 of 2026

Manas Ranjan Barik ... ***Petitioner***

Mr. S. Mishra, Advocate

-versus-

State of Orissa (EOW) ... ***Opposite Party***

*Mr. P.S. Nayak, Specially engaged
counsel for State*

CORAM: JUSTICE G. SATAPATHY
ORDER(ORAL)17.07.2026

Order No.
02.

1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical Mode).
2. The petitioner by way of this bail application U/S.483 of BNSS has sought for grant of interim bail in connection with EOW, Bhubaneswar PS Case No.03 of 2025 corresponding to CT Case No.4(C) of 2025 pending in the file of learned Addl. Sessions Judge-cum-PO, Designated Court under OPID Act, Balasore, for commission of offences punishable U/S.406/ 409/ 420/ 467/ 468/ 471/ 120-B of IPC.
3. In the course of hearing Mr. Sitikant Mishra, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the mother of the petitioner is suffering from Ureteric Calculus and she is required to undergo surgery, but the existing family members are not co-operating and thereby, the presence of the petitioner is highly required, for which the petitioner may kindly be granted interim bail for a period of two months.



3.1 On the other hand, Mr. Partha Sarathi Nayak, learned Specially engaged counsel for the State by producing the written instruction received from the IO submits that not only the patient(mother of the petitioner) is survived five married daughters, but also one of the son-in-law namely Hemendra Parida is taking due care of her health and further, there is no urgency for surgery in the matter and thereby, the interim bail application of the petitioner may kindly be rejected.

4. The petitioner, however, renews his prayer for bail in the garb of interim bail, but there is serious allegation against the petitioner and this Court has earlier rejected the bail application of the petitioner twice in BLAPL No.10846 of 2025 and in BLAPL No.2947 of 2025. Further, the written instruction as produced by the learned State counsel reveals that the mother of the petitioner is having five daughters and her son-in-law namely Hemendra Parida is taking care of her. Besides, the written instruction reveals that the IO had discussed with Dr. G.C. Mahanta, Surgical Specialist, DHH, Keonjhar, the treating doctor of the mother of the petitioner namely Malati Barik. In the aforesaid circumstances, especially when there is somebody in the family to look after the health care of the mother of the petitioner and when there is serious allegation



against the petitioner, this Court does not find any good ground to grant interim bail to the petitioner.

5. Hence, the prayer for grant of interim bail of the petitioner stands rejected. Accordingly, the BLAPL stands disposed of. Issue urgent certified copy of the order as per Rules. A soft copy of this order be immediately communicated to the concerned Court.

(G. Satapathy)
Judge

Jayakrushna