



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK
W.P.(C) No.19248 of 2026

Targini Kumar Nayak

....

Petitioner

Mr. N. Jena, Advocate

-versus-

**State of Odisha and
Others**

....

Opp. Parties

Mr. C.K. Pradhan,
AGA

Mr. S.K. Patra, Advocate
for O.P. No.4

CORAM:
JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER
09.07.2026

Order No

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical) Mode.

2. Heard learned counsel appearing for the parties.

3. The present Writ Petition has been filed *inter alia* with the following prayer: -

“Under the above circumstances, it is therefore humbly prayed that the Hon'ble Court be graciously pleased to direct the Opp. Parties to grant the benefit of RACP to the petitioner on completion of 10, 20 and 30 years of service in the grade pay of Rs. 2200/-, Rs. 2400/- and Rs. 4200/- w.e.f. 11.07.1997, 11.07.2007 and 11.07.2017 respectively in terms of the Finance Department Resolution dtd: 06.02.2013 notwithstanding ORSP Rules, 2017 which came into existence only in notification dtd: 20.09.2017 and also to grant consequential revision of pay and pension to the petitioner and to grant all the arrears thereof within the stipulated period as deem fit and proper.



And/or pas any other appropriate writ/writs, order/orders and direction/directions in the fitness of the case.”

4. Learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that through highlighting his grievances, the petitioner has filed a representation vide Annexure-3 to the Writ Petition, but till date nothing has been done in the matter. In such background, he prays that a direction be issued to the Opposite Party No.3 to take a decision on the above noted petition within a specific time period.

5. Considering the submissions made and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this Court directs the Opposite Party No.3 to take a decision on the above noted representation in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the date of production of certified copy of this order and communicate the result of such exercise to the petitioner.

6. The Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

Basudev