

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

CRLREV No.309 of 2022

Elina Pati

....

Petitioner

*Ms. Sabita Ranjan Pattnaik,
Advocate*

-versus-

***1. Dr. Partha Sarathi
Nanda***

***2. Dr. Prabhat Kumar
Nanda***

3. Mrs. Anupama Nanda

....

Opp. Parties

**CORAM:
JUSTICE S.K. SAHOO**

**ORDER
19.07.2022**

Order No.

02.

This matter is taken up through Hybrid arrangement (video conferencing/physical mode).

The petitioner Elina Pati has filed this revision petition challenging the order dated 05.04.2021 passed by the learned J.M.F.C., Cuttack in DV CRLMC No.182 of 2019 as well as the judgment and order dated 18.12.2021 passed by the learned 1st Additional Sessions Judge, Cuttack in D.V.Crl. Appeal No.30 of 2021.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner prayed for a sum of Rs.50,000/- (rupees fifty thousand) towards her maintenance

along with Rs.50,00,000/- (rupees fifty lakhs) towards compensation and since the opposite party did not appear in spite of service of notice, he was set exparte and the learned Magistrate only granted maintenance at the rate of Rs.15,000/- (rupees fifteen thousand) per month to the petitioner. No order was passed relating to the compensation claim. It is further submitted that the petitioner's appeal before the Court of Session was allowed in part and the maintenance was directed to be paid from the date of filing of the application. However, the Appellate Court also did not enhance the quantum of maintenance nor passed any compensation order as per section 22 of the P.W.D.V. Act.

Issue notice to the opposite parties on the question of admission indicating therein that the matter shall be disposed of at the stage of admission.

It is stated that another connected matter i.e. CRLREV No.122 of 2022 where the parties are same is posted to 26.07.2022.

List this matter along with CRLREV No.122 of 2022 on 26.07.2022.

Filing of requisites in the case shall be decided on that date.

(S.K. Sahoo)
Judge